

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report

January - December 2003



Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
State of California

Kimberly Belshé, Secretary
California Health and Human Services Agency

Sandra Shewry, Director
California Department of Health Services



December 2006

The California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, HIV Counseling, Testing, and Training Section oversees state-funded HIV counseling and testing throughout California. The counseling and testing performed by these programs is reported to the Office of AIDS monthly. The HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section compiles this information and distributes it to interested parties in the form of annual reports. Presented in this report are a feature article, an overview of the 2003 HIV counseling and testing data, and detailed tables of the 2003 data.

State of California	Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
California Health and Human Services Agency	Kimberly Belshé, Secretary
California Department of Health Services	Sandra Shewry, Director
State Public Health Officer	Mark Horton, M.D., MSPH
Prevention Services	Kevin Reilly, D.V.M., M.P.V.M., Deputy Director
Office of AIDS	Barbara Bailey, M.S., Acting Chief
HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch	Juan Ruiz, M.D., M.P.H., Dr.P.H., Chief
HIV Education and Prevention Services Branch	Kevin Farrell, L.C.S.W., Chief
HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section	Christopher S. Krawczyk, Ph.D., Chief
	David S. Webb, M.S., Research Scientist
	Atsuko Nonoyama, Ph.D., Research Scientist

This report and past annual reports are available via the Internet at:

www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report

January – December 2003

December 2006

Prepared by:

California Department of Health Services
Office of AIDS
HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch
HIV Education and Prevention Services Branch
HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section
MS 7700
P.O. Box 997426
Sacramento, CA 95899-7426
(916) 449-5900
www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS

Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor
State of California

Kimberly Belshé
Secretary
California Health and Humans Services Agency

Sandra Shewry
Director
California Department of Health Services

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
Feature Article.....	1
The 2003 HIV Counselor Survey: Results, Recommendations, and Implementations.....	1
Summary of 2003 HIV Counseling and Testing at Publicly-Funded Sites.....	11
Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Client Profile.....	13
HIV Counseling and Testing Services Funded By Non-Office of AIDS Sources.....	23
Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Quality Assurance Indicators.....	23
HIV Counseling and Testing Tables.....	29
Guide to HIV Counseling and Testing Tables.....	29
All Services—Office of AIDS Funded and Non-Office of AIDS-Funded.....	31
Table 1: OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Year, Quarter, and Month.....	31
Table 2: Non-OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Year, Quarter, and Month.....	32
Table 3: OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Program and Clinic Type.....	33
Table 4: Non-OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Program and Clinic Type.....	34
Table 5: OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	35
Table 6: Non-OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	37
All Services—Office of AIDS Funded.....	39
Table 7: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Race/Ethnicity and Gender.....	39
Table 8: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Age Group and Gender.....	40
Table 9: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Age Group.....	42
Table 10: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Pregnancy Status.....	44
Table 11: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Gender (Outreach Services).....	45
Table 12: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and STD History.....	47
Table 13: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and STD/Hepatitis Diagnosis.....	49
Table 14: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Number of Partners.....	51
Table 15: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Number of Prior Tests.....	52
Table 16: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Program Type and Agency	54
Counseling and Testing Services Only	58
Table 17: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity.....	58
Table 18: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Drug Use, Gender, and Test Result.....	60
Table 19: No Show Rate by Program Type, Agency and Funding Source.....	62
Table 20: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Disclosure Referrals and Client Risk Level.....	65
HIV Counseling Information Form.....	67

The 2003 HIV Counselor Survey: Results, Recommendations, and Implementations

Valorie L. Eckert, M.P.H. and Nyann Biery, M.S.
California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS

Introduction

The California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS (CDHS/OA) provides funding and counselor training for HIV counseling and testing (C&T) sites throughout the state. In the winter of 2003, OA designed and distributed the HIV Counselor Survey to these state-funded HIV C&T sites as part of a multi-pronged effort to gather helpful information in order to strengthen the current HIV C&T program.

Because job satisfaction is a critical component of quality service, the needs and feelings of HIV counselors in these direct service, or “front-line” positions, are pivotal to both local and statewide operations. The summarized information from the survey assisted OA in focusing limited resources on the most crucial needs in order to better serve HIV counselors and their clients. The HIV Counselor Survey also serves as the primary communication between the counselors and policy makers for this state program.

Method

The 2003 HIV Counselor Survey instrument was adapted from a similar counselor questionnaire administered in 1994. The survey design was a 20 question survey, some multi-part, with sections focusing on general counselor demographics, comfort level with specific HIV counseling topics, opinions on their current work situation, and open-ended questions covering any frustrations, satisfactions, and other comments that the counselors chose to share with OA.


In order to maximize survey efficiency and obtain valuable and pertinent information about HIV counseling, our survey population was limited to experienced counselors only. For our purposes, counselors with greater than 20 counseling sessions the previous fiscal year (2001-2002) at the time of the February 2003 mailing were considered eligible to participate in the survey. In order to ensure confidentiality, surveys were answered anonymously and returned to OA in individual self-addressed and stamped envelopes. While only experienced counselors were targeted, no HIV counselor was excluded from being surveyed if interest was expressed. Five hundred thirty-eight of the 880 surveys mailed were returned for an overall response rate of 61 percent. Returned surveys were accepted until July 2003.

HIV Counselor Profile: 2003 Versus 1994

Age Distribution

Figure 1 shows the age distribution of the counselors in 1994 and in the 2003 survey. Responding HIV counselors appear evenly distributed between the ages of 20 and 60, with about 25 percent of respondents represented in each of the four ten-year categories. Though the average age (40 years) has not changed, the distribution across the age groups has expanded to include a greater percentage of counselors over the age of 50.

Figure 1




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Race and Ethnicity

The race/ethnic distribution of HIV counselors in 1994 and 2003 are shown in Figure 2. While the majority of HIV counselors are still White, there has been a significant increase in the number of counselors with other race/ethnic backgrounds that is more representative of the clients they serve.

Figure 2




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Gender

The graph in Figure 3 reveals a 64.5 percent majority of counselors are female, a significant decrease from 1994 data where 84 percent were female. Across the same time period, male counselors doubled from 16.4 percent in 1994 to over one-third (34.2 percent) in 2003. The observed increase in the proportion of male counselors better reflects the 62 percent male client majority seen in state-funded sites. In 2003, seven counselors (1.3 percent) were transgender. Transgender was not a response option in the 1994 survey.

Figure 3




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Length of Counseling Service

Since 1994, the average length of time respondents have been performing HIV counseling has increased from 3 years to 5.2 years, indicating a significant shift toward more experienced and, ultimately, a more stable HIV counselor pool (Figure 4). (Note: The “less than two years” category underestimates the actual number of HIV counselors because the 2003 survey targeted only experienced counselors.)

Figure 4



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Education and Professional Background

An overwhelming majority (95 percent) of respondents have at least some college training, with more than 60 percent having achieved a four-year degree or more. A high school diploma or general equivalency diploma was earned by 3.6 percent, and 1.5 percent stated they had not completed high school.

Half of the responding counselors (51 percent) have a clinical or medical background, 30 percent are community health outreach workers, 17 percent are trained in behavioral or mental health, 17 percent in social services, 11 percent have HIV counselor training only, and 3 percent have some other type of training, including administrative, computer science, manager, positive speaking, translator, and treatment advocacy. (1994 Survey: Health 54 percent, Mental Health 12 percent, Social Service 11 percent, Other 24 percent.)

Over the past ten years, the counselor pool has diversified significantly to better reflect the clients served. Counselors today are more experienced in HIV counseling and remain highly qualified to perform required duties.

HIV Work Responsibilities and Job Satisfaction

Work Responsibilities

Two out of three responding counselors (66 percent) have other primary work responsibilities considered of equal priority. When asked the amount of time that they were actually scheduled for HIV counseling, only a third were scheduled half time or more. In addition, over half of responding counselors reported five or fewer counseling sessions a week.

When asked about the type of counseling that they have performed, all (100 percent) indicated that they perform risk assessments and negative disclosures, two-thirds (65 percent) have performed a positive disclosure, and over half (54 percent) have performed post-disclosure counseling. Of the 538 responses, over half (54 percent) stated that they have performed all three. Most respondents (90 percent) have counseled in a clinic setting, while 44 percent performed street outreach counseling; 35 percent worked in a mobile van, and 2 percent worked in other settings. Twenty-two percent of counselors have counseled in clinic settings, street outreach settings, and mobile vans.


The role of a typical 2003 HIV counselor was one of multiple hats worn on the job. Forty percent of their workload was dedicated to HIV counseling, primarily in a clinic setting, with six to ten HIV counseling sessions per week.

Job Satisfaction

The counselors were asked several questions to assess counselor opinions about their general work environment and job satisfaction. The responses were based on a Likert scale from one to six, with one being “disagree very much” and six being “agree very much.” Figure 5 shows the results from these questions.

HIV counselors are generally confident about their skills, are happy about and proud of the work they do, and feel good about their co-workers and supervisors; however, there was less satisfaction on topics related to communication and feedback within their work environment, with counselors agreeing least with the statement that they had a “fair chance at promotion.”

Figure 5




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Continuing Education Training

All HIV counselors working in state-funded C&T sites are required to take one continuing education training (CET) course each year with the goal of keeping their HIV knowledge current. To maintain the effectiveness of CETs, it is important that they remain relevant to current issues in HIV while also reflective of the knowledge level and training needs of the current HIV counselor pool. To this end, respondents were given a list of topics that were currently being offered as CETs and were asked to ‘rate’ their comfort level with each topic.

On a scale from one to five with five being “very comfortable,” responding HIV counselors reported being “very comfortable” with most CET topics. Relative to the other topics, HIV counselors are more comfortable with sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) and sex/sexuality issues, both scoring a mean of about 4.6 on the 5 point scale, and less comfortable with partner notification/partner counseling and referral services (PCRS), domestic violence/sexual assault issues and prevention with HIV-positive clients, with scores of 3.7, 3.9 and 3.9, respectively. For every topic, those who had taken a CET on that topic scored their comfort level significantly higher ($p < .01$, t-test) than those who had not taken a CET on that topic (Figure 6).

Figure 6



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Counselors were also asked to rate their comfort level on a set of topics not currently offered as CET courses, but with potential to be offered in the future. Survey respondents consistently expressed interest in CET topics they are least comfortable with. The topics of interest were mental health (35 percent), homeless issues (27 percent), and dealing with resistant clients (26 percent).

Some respondents suggested topics or ways to improve the state's CET program from 75 respondents (14 percent). Responding counselors wanted transgender issues covered; and more in-depth information on the "party and play" men who have sex with men (MSM) population, including addressing barebacking, "meth parties," and the late night/early morning lifestyles. The need for a course covering more "intense" street outreach issues was also mentioned. This course would cover issues such as street safety for outreach workers, interfacing with hostile clients, as well as the creative client behavior change strategies necessary to reach difficult populations. Also, HIV counselors asked for a self-facilitated peer network session in order to address and prevent burnout by sharing experiences and trading stories on "successes" and "challenges" encountered.

There were several respondents who asked that the existing CETs be expanded to include more information. Examples of this were the need for more specific information on HIV and women, especially pregnancy issues; updated HIV treatment options for all populations; information on immigration issues, including possible legal ramifications of seeking care; dealing with specific youth or teen issues, such as currently popular drugs and associated risks; information on club and designer drugs; and more specific information regarding STDs.

Suggestions for CET improvements included offering topics that are tailored to the current epidemic and to specific course locations. Respondents also suggested that CET topics be rotated at the locations where they are offered, which would decrease the need to travel in order to get new information. Online CET courses were suggested to ease travel resources and reduce time away from work.

Qualitative Feedback

The final part of the questionnaire consisted of a series of open-ended questions focusing on what is “most satisfying” and “most frustrating” about being an HIV counselor.

Most Satisfying

Counselors mentioned something as simple as “giving a negative result” as most satisfying while others felt satisfied by grander goals such as actually “reducing HIV in the community.” Almost all counselors (98 percent) described something along the lines of “helping others,” “providing a service that has integrity” or “making a difference” in people’s lives and in the field of HIV prevention and advocacy as being the most satisfying aspect of their job. Other, more specific, comments related to their impact on individual clients, namely “giving clients tools to help themselves” and “helping clients to realize their risk.”

Also important to job satisfaction was the connection counselors have with their clients, a non-judgmental relationship built on trust, support, and encouragement. In general, “meeting new people” every day and, specifically, “being appreciated” by those clients makes the job satisfying for many counselors. Other counselors felt satisfied when they are actually able to witness clients change their behavior, however slight that change may be. A final gratifying aspect of counseling was being able to actually “connect clients to services they need.”

Most Frustrating

Respondents mentioned different aspects of their jobs when asked what was most frustrating about being an HIV counselor. Of the frustrations mentioned that were client-related, working with clients who do not follow through with goals/behavior change or are resistant to counseling was mentioned by the most respondents (82 percent). Counselors felt frustrated when they “can’t get through to high risk clients,” or when the client cannot recognize their risks for HIV acquisition. Equally frustrating for counselors are clients who are “no shows”— who test but do not show up to receive results; “low risk” clients who come in to test anyway; and clients who feel that a negative result “vindicates” their previous behaviors.

Some counselors mentioned frustration with the fiscal climate, namely the “lack of resources” and the “inability to give needed referrals,” especially drug and alcohol rehabilitation. Along similar lines, misdirected resources, “not testing the right people,” and “not being able to access high-risk clients adequately” were mentioned as frustrating issues as well. Others narrowed their frustrations to aspects of the job itself, such as “lack of benefits,” “no room to move up,” “inconsistent work schedule,” and “time constraints with clients.” Still others cited broader inherent job concepts such as “lack of power” and not being included in decision making and policy formulation.

Again, like satisfaction comments, frustrations ranged from very specific frustrating events such as “giving an HIV-positive result” to much larger-scale frustrations such as they “can’t help everybody,” and “...admitting [they] can’t save the world.”

Implementation of Findings: HIV Counselor Training

The surveys expressed need for the HIV C&T program to “grow and change with the times” and for CETs and other trainings to be more tailored toward specific issues, populations, and the local needs of counselors. OA has made a number of significant program changes as a direct or indirect result of the findings from the 2003 HIV Counselor Survey.

CETs

Overall, CETs appear to be serving their main purpose: to make the counselors more comfortable in discussing relevant topics with their clients. However, CET topics that HIV counselors felt the least comfortable with were reassessed and retooled to maximize their impact, and new CETs were developed based on topic areas suggested by the HIV counselors.

OA recognized that additional dimensions to the basic CETs were necessary because Prevention with Positives (PwP) and PCRS are particularly highlighted by the paradigm shift of HIV/AIDS from a fatal to a chronic disease. This, together with the counselors’ expressed need to address mental health issues with clients and to provide support networks, helped to shape the following tailored PwP CETs: *Supporting Positive Sexual Health*; *Developing a New Relationship*; *Supporting Positive Mental Health*; and *Major Depression and Living with HIV*. Additionally, in addressing expanded needs for PCRS, the counselors’ desire for more workshop-style trainings, three distinct interactive trainings were developed: *Conducting HIV Anonymous Third Party Notification in the Field*; *Disclosure Assistance Program*; and *Preparing a Client to Self Disclose*.

In response to counselor suggestions for more tailored information, “party and play” and MSM issues were added to the existing *Substance Use and HIV* CET and highlighted in multiple issues of *HIV Counselor Perspectives*. CETs *STDs*, *HIV Prevention Strategies for Youth* and *Partner and Domestic Violence* have also been updated to include contemporary issues and *Meeting the STD/HIV Needs of Transgender Clients* was developed to address the request for a CET covering the unique issues of transgender clients.

Along with programmatic movements toward streamlining rapid testing utilization (which was piloted beginning in late 2003), a host of rapid HIV testing CETs and training modules have been developed to accommodate most levels of knowledge and expertise. To begin, rapid testing has been incorporated into the curricula of Basic I and II trainings. The rapid HIV testing training is a comprehensive two-day training covering all issues related to rapid testing. Also added were: *Rapid HIV Test Orientation for Supervisors* and *Rapid Test Refresher*, a CET for counselors who were trained but have not yet started rapid testing. Finally, *Rapid Testing Case Consultation (Debrief)* is a CET that allows for experienced rapid HIV test counselors to discuss any issues that have come up in the field around rapid testing.

While encouraged by the two-year increase in the average length of time HIV counselors have remained counseling since the 1994 survey, OA also recognized the often-demanding nature of the job and the continued need for HIV counselor support. As one means to address this concern directly, a CET entitled, “*Burnout and HIV: Practicing Safer Stress*” was developed. This course provides a means for counselors to be in a receptive environment with their peers while learning ways to cope with the challenges and frustrations of HIV counseling.

Basic I and Basic II

To address counselors’ desire for more information on mental health issues and dealing with ‘resistant’ clients, as well as their desire for more interactive trainings, modules on challenging clients and creative client behavior change strategies were added to the curricula for Basic I and II. In addition, a Spanish language Basic I course has been developed, reaffirming our commitment to provide culturally specific and accessible HIV trainings.

Training Access

To better accommodate the busy and often sporadic schedules of HIV counselors, the following Web based CET courses were developed: *Hepatitis C; Substance Use and HIV; HIV Prevention Strategies for Youth, and Human Sexuality*. In addition, an online *Basic II Pretraining* course is in development to bridge the time gap that often occurs between Basic I and Basic II trainings.

Responding counselors also asked for locally scheduled CETs to be relevant to local counselor needs and client populations. As a result, HIV coordinators are now contacted by trainers and asked what CETs they need in their area. This interactive process creates more customized local trainings while minimizing travel expenses.

Goals and Vision

The findings from the HIV Counselor Survey reaffirmed OA's commitment to high-risk and disenfranchised populations. Additionally, in 2003, OA acted upon HIV counselors' frustration with "low-risk, repeat testers" as well as their desire for "better and more confident outreach targeting" by introducing the High Risk Initiatives Program. The primary purpose of this program was to fund interventions that target populations in highest need.

The enhanced effort toward targeted outreach has also made rapid testing a high priority for OA. More comprehensive training modules have been developed and movement toward lessening restrictions on rapid testing protocols is underway.

Conclusions

The HIV Counselor Survey continues to be a valuable communication link between HIV counselors and program and policy makers at the State level. The feelings, ideas and opinions of the counselors in regards to programmatic enhancements and changes proved insightful and were incorporated, whenever possible, in important decision making processes. OA would like to thank all HIV counselors who participated in this survey.

Courses offered to state-funded HIV counselors can be found on the University of California, San Francisco, AIDS Health Project Web site (2Hwww.ucsf-ahp.org) and the California STD/HIV Prevention Training Center Web site (3Hwww.stdhivtraining.org)

Summary of 2003 HIV Counseling and Testing at Publicly Funded Sites


California publicly funded HIV C&T data were collected for 2003 using the fifth version of the HIV Counseling Information System (HIV5). These data include client testing as well as sexual behaviors that occurred in the past two years or since the client's last HIV test result. A copy of the HIV Counseling Information Form (CIF) used during HIV C&T sessions is located on page 67.

Total annual test volume reported to OA increased by 0.5 percent from 179,597 in 2002 to 180,508 in 2003. Non-OA-funded sources¹ reported 21,746 tests (12 percent of 2003 tests), 2,246 more than in 2002. The number of these voluntarily reported non-OA-funded tests increased for a second year, after a steady decline from 1998 to 2001.

Figure 1 shows both OA-funded and non-OA-funded testing service volume by month. For OA-funded tests, monthly test volume ranged between 11,914 and 17,376 tests per month. Test volume was highest in the months of January, March, July, and October and a typical seasonal decline was seen in November and December. The monthly volume of non-OA-funded tests was also variable, ranging from about 1,400 to 2,400 tests reported per month.

Alternative test sites (ATS) performed 26 percent of all OA-funded tests, non-ATS sites reported about 73 percent of all tests, and less than 1 percent of the tests were reported by Indian Health and Rural Health Program sites. The proportion of tests conducted at ATS locations had decreased by a few percentage points each year since 1998 (51 percent), and dropped by nearly 8 percentage points from 34 percent in 2002.

Figure 1




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

¹ For the present report, records were treated as non-OA funded if: the client's test election was court-ordered or other non-OA test, OA was not billed, or the record was from a Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (DADP) funded agency. Non-OA-funded services represented approximately 11 percent of services reported to OA in 2003. Services are reported to OA voluntarily to enhance the completeness of this information source.

Geographic Distribution of HIV-positive Results

Figure 2 presents a map of HIV infections reported to OA in 2003 from OA-funded and non-OA-funded HIV testing sites in California (2,348 in total), by client county of residence. The four counties with the largest number of newly identified HIV infections were Los Angeles (994), San Francisco (360), San Diego (240), and Alameda (178). Clients who indicated that they previously tested HIV positive, or whose county of residence is unknown were excluded.

Figure 2



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Client Profile

The data presented in the following sections represent only HIV C&T services funded by OA. Please note that numbers and percentages represent services provided and not numbers or percentages of clients, unless otherwise specified.


HIV Positivity Rates

The overall HIV positivity rate for OA-funded tests in 2003 was 1.63 percent (2,950 cases). This represents a fairly large increase for the second year in a row and a continued upward trend since 2000. From 1998 to 2000, the rate remained about 1.25 percent (1.26 percent in 1998; 1.24 percent in 1999; and 1.25 percent in 2000), increasing to 1.38 percent in 2001 and 1.50 percent in 2002. Twenty-four percent of clients in 2003 who tested HIV positive reported having tested HIV positive in the past (23 percent in 2002). The increase in the positivity rate should be interpreted with caution, however, as it may be attributable to a number of factors including an increase in the proportion of high-risk clients testing (individuals with multiple high risk factors are in fact at greater risk than those who are considered high risk based on single factor), and/or a larger proportion of HIV-positive clients repeat testing in the same calendar year. As C&T continues to improve targeting of high-risk clients, the relative proportion of positive tests is expected to increase.

HIV Testing Reported by Race and Gender


Figures 3 and 4 show the race/ethnicity breakdown for all OA-funded HIV tests and for OA-funded HIV tests with positive results, respectively. In 2003, about 17 percent of all test clients were African American, an increase from 15 percent in 2002. Positive test results among African Americans remained disproportionately high (25 percent of all positive tests). Latinos represented about 31 percent of all testing services, a slight increase from 30 percent in 2002. Likewise, the percentage of Latinos with positive test results increased from 30 percent in 2002 to 33 percent in 2003. The overall proportion of clients identifying as White declined to 41 percent (42 percent in 2002) as did the proportion of Whites testing positive (34 percent of all positives), a 3 percent point decrease from 37 percent in 2002. Nearly 6 percent of all clients identified as Asian American/Pacific Islanders (PI), and this group represented 4 percent of all positive tests, the same as the previous year. African Americans continue to be the most disproportionately represented among those testing and receiving positive tests results, further emphasizing the importance of education and prevention programs focusing on this population.

Figure 3



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Figure 4




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Figure 5 shows the total number of HIV tests by gender and race and Figure 6 shows the percentage of positive HIV tests by gender and race. Males continue to account for more than half of the tests for all races (64 percent) and most HIV-positive test results (82 percent). African American males had the highest infection rate at 2.74 percent followed by Latino males (2.22 percent), Native American males (2.08 percent), White males (1.86 percent), other race males (1.85 percent), and Asian American/PI males (1.49 percent). Compared to 2002, the percent positive in 2003 increased in most race categories except for African American males whose infection rate dropped, and Asian/PI males whose percentage remained the same. In sum, although the exact percent positive fluctuates from year to year, an overall pattern of positivity by race and gender has remained the same: African American males represent the highest, and Latino males the second highest positive rates. The consistency of these findings over a number of years suggest that emphasis should continue to be placed on developing and/or expanding culturally relevant outreach and prevention programs targeting African American and Latino men. Corresponding to this need, the number of tests provided to African American and Latino males showed a sharp increase between 2002 and 2003, by 24 percent and 16 percent, respectively.

The number of African American women tested increased 17 percent from the previous year to 12,142 after two years of decrease. The total number of HIV-positive tests among African American females was 171, a 25 percent increase from 137 cases reported in 2002. The HIV infection rate of African American females also increased (from 1.32 percent to 1.41 percent), after three years of remaining fairly stable. A similar upward trend in the number of positive tests since 2000, followed by what appears to be a “spike” in 2003, is observed in Latinas (0.38 percent in 2000, 0.46 percent in 2002, and 0.69 percent in 2003).


The relatively small number of women who test positive limits the ability to draw conclusions when comparing women’s HIV positivity rates by race. However, the percentage of African American women who test positive continues to be disproportionately higher than Native American (0.89 percent), other race (0.83 percent), Latina (0.69 percent), White (0.42 percent), or Asian/PI females (0.36 percent). This suggests that more culturally specific HIV prevention programs focusing on the issues encountered by African American women are needed.

Figure 5



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Figure 6




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

HIV Testing Reported by Age and Gender


Figure 7 shows test volume by age and gender. The proportion of each age group with HIV-positive test results can be seen on Figure 8. Nearly 60 percent of all HIV tests were administered to individuals between the ages of 20 to 39 years, with the largest number of tests provided to clients ages 20 to 29, followed by those aged 30 to 39. The age distribution of services provided is similar for males and females. The majority of clients with HIV-positive test results (35 percent) continue to be 30 to 39 years old, followed by 40 to 49 year olds (26 percent) and 20 to 29 year olds (24 percent). The number of adults who are 40 years old or above testing positive for HIV has increased for the third year in a row. This year the number of positive tests among adults 40 to 59 years old increased by 18 percent (from 829 to 977) and since 2001, the number of positives for this age group has increased by 41 percent. The number of positive tests for those 60 years and older increased by 49 percent (33 in 2002, 49 in 2003). These numbers compare to the 10 percent increase in positive tests for 30 to 39 years olds since 2002. In addition to the steady rise in the percent positive in older age groups, year 2003 is marked by a sharp rise in HIV infection in persons ages 20 to 29. While positive test results for this group remained stable between 2001 (599 cases) to 2002 (594 cases), 718 positive cases were found in 2003, an increase of 21 percent. Again, it should be noted that these data include repeat testers and therefore must be interpreted with caution.

Figure 7



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Figure 8



Prepared by CDHS/OA.


HIV Testing Reported by Risk Behavior Category

In the HIV C&T database, each client is assigned to a mutually exclusive risk category based on behavioral information provided at risk assessment and disclosure counseling sessions. Clients reporting multiple risk behaviors are assigned to the category that evidence shows is most likely to put them at risk for HIV infection. Figure 9 lists the hierarchy of risks and the percent of HIV-positive tests for each risk group.

Male injection drug users (IDUs) who have sex with men are at highest risk for HIV, with 11.1 percent of their tests having positive results. The positivity rate for this risk group had been increasing over the past few years, from 8.63 percent in 1998 to 5.44 percent in 2000, but increased dramatically since 2002 when the positivity rate was 7.45 percent. The percentage of HIV-positive MSM IDUs has now surpassed the higher levels seen in the late 1990s (7.2 percent in 1999, 8.6 percent in 1998, 7.8 percent in 1997, and 9.0 percent in 1996). This signals an alarming trend of increasing HIV risk for MSM IDUs that has important implications for prevention, and must be monitored.

Clients with an HIV-infected sex partner (4.77 percent positives) and MSM (4.23 percent positives) are at high risk as well. Clients with unknown risk behavior also continued to have a high HIV-positive rate (2.83 percent).

Figure 9




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Prior HIV Testing History

Many clients seen at publicly funded test sites have previously tested for HIV. MSM, regardless of their injection drug use status, and heterosexual IDUs continue to report the largest percentage of repeat testing. MSM (IDUs and non-IDUs) had the highest rate of prior testing with 40 percent reporting five or more previous HIV tests. This group has consistently reported high levels of repeat testing for the past six years, with more than a third reporting over five previous tests, suggesting that these clients are aware of their high risk for HIV and monitor their HIV status with regular HIV testing. About 66 percent of clients in all other risk groups (combined) had tested previously, a 7 percent-point drop from 73 percent in 2002, indicating a rise in the proportion of first-time testers in lower risk groups.

First-time testers accounted for 29 percent of all OA-funded tests, and 18 percent of the HIV-positive tests. Twenty-four percent of clients with HIV-positive test results reported a previous HIV-positive test result suggesting that almost a quarter of clients are returning to test sites to validate initial test results (Figure 10). About half (47 percent) of clients with HIV-positive results reported a prior negative result. This continues to indicate that a single HIV C&T session often does not result in behavior change that prevents HIV infections for these clients, and highlights the importance of referring HIV-negative high-risk clients to prevention programs to help them gain the skills and information that can result in behavior change.

Figure 10




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Diagnosis for Positive and Negative Test Results

Clients who test positive were more likely to report STDs than were HIV-negative clients (Figure 11). Among clients with positive tests, 26 percent reported having an STD diagnosed within the past two years or since the last test result, compared to 18 percent of those who received negative test results. Hepatitis C (8.5 percent), gonorrhea (7.1 percent), and hepatitis B (4.0 percent) were the most commonly reported STDs among those with a positive HIV test. Reported in descending order, the most commonly reported disease diagnoses for all C&T clients were chlamydia (5.7 percent), gonorrhea (4.1 percent), hepatitis C (3.4 percent), genital/anal warts (2.1 percent), genital herpes (2.0 percent), hepatitis B (1.3 percent), syphilis (1.1 percent) and trichomoniasis (0.9 percent). The proportion of clients reporting a specific disease diagnosis varies depending on the client behavior risk (see Appendix C&T Data Table).

Figure 11




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Drugs and Alcohol Used with Sex

Fifty-five percent of C&T clients reported recent alcohol use (within the past two years) and 27 percent reported recent marijuana use. The most commonly reported drugs after alcohol and marijuana were amphetamines (14.3 percent), cocaine (10.3 percent), crack (7.7 percent), heroin (7.2 percent), and ecstasy (4.1 percent). Sexual activity while using alcohol and drugs remains prevalent and was reported by 75 percent of all contacts that reported using alcohol or other drugs. Figure 12 shows that drugs most likely to be used with sex sometimes or usually were amphetamine (63 percent), crack (57 percent), nitrates (53 percent), cocaine (49 percent), alcohol (49 percent), and heroin (49 percent).

The proportion of drug use with sex was even higher among HIV-positive clients, and the choice of drugs was somewhat different from that for HIV-negative clients. The most common drug used with sex among HIV-positive clients was nitrates (77 percent), followed by amphetamine (72 percent), crack (66 percent), other drugs (61 percent), ecstasy (58 percent), and cocaine (57 percent).

Figure 12




Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Transgender Counseling and Testing Clients

The number of self-identified transgender (TG) clients testing for HIV increased to 1,203 in 2003 from 881 in 2002. This represents a 37 percent increase, and the largest increase was observed in Latino/a, from 428 in 2002 to 755 in 2003. The sudden rise in the number of TG clients is attributed to one Los Angeles-based agency that targets Latino gay and TG populations, which reported only 37 TG clients served in 2002 but 309 in 2003. Although TG clients represent a small proportion of the entire HIV C&T population they continue to be at extremely high risk for HIV and were again the group with the overall highest HIV infection rate (6.31 percent) (see Appendix C&T Data Table). Steady increases in the number of TG clients seeking testing over the past five years are consistent with the OA's ongoing emphasis on better targeting high-risk individuals for C&T.

Figure 13 shows the total numbers of positive versus negative test results in the TG-identified persons by race for 2003. African American TG clients accounted for 126 tests and had the highest HIV infection rate (28.6 percent). Latino/a clients continue to represent the largest racial/ethnic group of all TG clients testing (n=755) with their HIV positivity rate 2.91 percent. Because the data report number of tests and not number of clients, some client(s) may be counted more than once in the data for the year. Consistent with this speculation, 33 percent of HIV-positive TG clients (25 clients) reported having had a positive HIV test in the past.

Figure 13



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Matching Criteria

Prior to 2001, HIV C&T services could only be described in terms of service units, not in the number of distinct clients served. In 2001, the CIF revision added elements that, when combined with existing elements, creates a unique record identifier. As reported in the 2001 C&T Annual Report, the matching criteria (MC) code that includes race/ethnicity, gender, date of birth, and client ZIP Code distinguishes unique records with 95 percent accuracy. Using the MC code permits more accurate reporting of essential statistics such as the number of individuals testing positive for the first time at OA C&T sites.

Of the 2,950 cases (or counseling episodes) with HIV-positive results reported in 2003, 2,636 cases (89 percent) contain all four elements of the MC code. Of these, 2,390 (91 percent) distinct clients tested positive only once at a publicly funded HIV test sites during the 2003 calendar year. One hundred eleven clients (4.2 percent) tested positive two times and eight individuals (0.3 percent) tested positive three times. An additional 314 (11 percent of all positive tests) positive HIV test records did not contain enough information to assign a unique MC code. If we make an assumption that all of these 314 cases represent unique clients, the MC coded records and the cases with incomplete information together lead to an estimate that no more than 2,823 distinct individuals tested positive in 2003 (96 percent of all positive HIV tests).

The MC code can also be used to estimate the number of new HIV infections reported in 2003. Five hundred sixty-nine of the 2,509 clients who tested positive one or more times reported a previous positive HIV test, leaving 1,940 new HIV infections found in 2003. Of the 314 records that are missing MC element information, 81 reported a previous positive result, thus the number of new infections for this group may be as high as 233. Altogether, between 1,940 and 2,173 new infections were identified through OA C&T sites in 2003.

The reliability and utility of the MC code depends on accurate and complete recording of demographic information by counseling staff and the consistency of information reported by repeat clients over time. The majority of sites providing OA-funded HIV C&T are increasingly reporting all four demographic elements of the MC code for the majority of their clients. For the most part, organizations and counselors realize that the MC code permits estimation of the number of unduplicated clients who receive publicly funded HIV test services, and neither identify individual clients nor breach client anonymity or confidentiality. Unfortunately, unfounded concerns that documenting the date of birth, a critical component of the MC code, constitutes unnecessary delving into personal information and places clients at risk for breached confidentiality has resulted in a significant number of locations from a few health jurisdictions (San Francisco County, Yolo County, and City of Berkeley) that decline to report date of birth. More than 9,000 client records (160 positive tests) from these sites do not contain date of birth information. When these sites comply with the requirement to request date of birth information, the MC code can be used to produce more accurate estimates of number of positive tests and number of new positives testing at public sites in California. A potential weakness of the matching criteria is that a single individual may be identified as two distinct clients if that person reports different residence ZIP Codes when seeking services twice during the same calendar year.

Overall Trends in Testing

The number of OA-funded HIV tests administered in 2003 increased only slightly by 911 tests from the previous year. Racial composition of tests shows an increase among African Americans and Latinos with a decrease among Whites, Asian/PIs, and Native Americans. The proportion of high-risk clients (57 percent) to all clients tested remained about the same as in 2002 (56 percent). As California's C&T program more effectively targets persons who engage in risky behaviors, it is expected that both the proportion of high-risk clients and HIV-positive test results will rise in coming years.

In 2003, the number of African American males testing increased by 24 percent from 2002 while the number of positive tests among African American males (n=522) increased by a smaller percentage (17 percent). This resulted in a decrease in the positivity rate (2.7 percent) for African American males compared to the previous year (3.0 percent). The number of positive tests for African American females increased for the first time since 2000, with a rise in the positivity rate to 1.4 percent in 2003. The total number of tests by Latino males increased by about eight percent in 2003, with an increase in the number of HIV-positive results, leading to a slightly higher positivity rate (2.2 percent) than in 2002 (2.1 percent). Whereas the total number of tests by White males dropped, the number of HIV-positive tests by White males increased for the third year in a row (1.6 percent in 2001, 1.7 percent in 2002, and 1.9 percent in 2003).

For the fourth consecutive year, the number of identified TG clients increased, but by over 50 percent in 2003. Despite the growing number of clients reached and their high risk of testing HIV positive, the clients comprise a small percentage (0.7 percent) of the entire testing population. The vast majority of infections remain among men, especially MSM. In public test sites, HIV infection remains highest among MSM/IDUs (11.1 percent), TG (6.3 percent), HIV-positive sex partner (4.8 percent), MSM (4.2 percent), 'unknown' risk (2.8 percent), African American male (2.7 percent), and Latino male (2.2 percent) testing clients.

Information as to whether clients consider themselves homeless was collected for the third year. The number of service contacts who self-identified as homeless increased slightly in 2003 from 7,749 (4.3 percent) to 8,320 (4.6 percent). Almost half of the service contacts by clients who are homeless were from three counties. Twenty-six percent of the homeless client contacts were in Los Angeles County, 14 percent were in San Francisco County, and 11 percent were in Orange County. Of all homeless clients tested, 201 were HIV positive. The positivity rate in 2003 for homeless contacts remained at the same level (2.4 percent) as the previous year (2.5 percent), and is higher than the overall positivity rate of 1.6 percent.

HIV Counseling and Testing Services Funded by Non-Office of AIDS Sources

Several C&T sites receive funding not only from OA, but also directly from other sources including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (DADP). Approximately 21,746 non-OA-funded tests were reported in 2003, a 34 percent increase from 2002. This represents an increase for the second year in a row, after three years of declining numbers of non-OA-funded tests. Non-OA-funded services comprised just over 10 percent of all HIV C&T data reported to OA for 2003.

Los Angeles County, Office of AIDS Programs and Policy (OAPP) reported the largest number of non-OA-funded tests (34 percent), San Diego County was the second largest (12 percent), followed by Fresno County DADP (8 percent) and Orange County (6 percent), with the remainder from a variety of locations throughout California. The percent of HIV-positive test results for non-OA-funded services was 1.2 percent, compared to 1.6 percent for OA-funded tests in 2003. The positivity rate for non-OA-funded tests had increased gradually in the past few years, from 0.81 percent in 2001 and 0.78 percent in 2002.

The proportion of heterosexual IDU clients at non-OA-funded sites (16 percent) was greater than OA-funded sites (10 percent). Non-OA-funded sites also provide larger proportions of services to clients who reported an injection drug using sex partner than OA-funded sites (7 percent versus 5 percent) as well as those who had sex for drugs or money (7 percent versus 2 percent). Non-OA-funded locations reported a much smaller proportion of tests by MSM (5 percent compared to 16 percent at OA-funded locations). Ethnic composition and rates of failing to return for test results were similar at OA-funded and non-OA-funded sites in 2003. However, positivity rates were higher across races in OA-funded tests than in non-OA-funded tests. Additional information on non-OA-funded services is provided in the C&T Data Tables section.

Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Quality Assurance Indicators


The following data provide information that can help to monitor the effectiveness and improve the quality of C&T services over time. These measures were first provided in the 1998 HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, and include the number of times clients did not return to receive test results and the amount of data that is missing (information that is not documented) from the HIV CIFs.

Failure to Return for HIV Test Result

Of all clients who tested, 24 percent did not receive their HIV test result. Since 1998, the overall percentage of testing clients not returning for a test result has consistently been between 24 percent and 27 percent. This includes rapid tests which allow delivery of results to clients within the same session. About 2 percent of all tests taken in 2003 were rapid tests, and rapid tests represent 0.35 percent of the test results not received. Rates of failing to return for HIV test results, or client no-show rates, differ among clinic types. ATS typically have the lowest no-show rates, and in 2003, 16 percent of test results were not disclosed. Of the cases for which clinic type was identified, mobile van testing (36 percent), family planning (36 percent) and STD clinic (29 percent) had the highest no-show rates (Figure 14). There are a variety of reasons why clients may fail to return for HIV test results. Mobile vans reach more clients who are homeless and disenfranchised making it difficult to reunite with clients. Clients seeking services from family planning or STD clinic may have sought services other than HIV testing and, therefore, are less likely to return for results unless motivated by HIV counselors.


Figure 15 shows the percent of HIV test results that were not disclosed by client risk category. Clients with unknown risk history (37 percent), where risk behaviors are not recorded during the counseling session, and those who traded sex for drugs or money (31 percent) were the most likely to fail to return for their test result. Partners of IDUs (29 percent) were the next most likely to fail to return. Of all risk categories, MSM clients most often returned for test results (14 percent “no-shows,” compared to 13 percent in 2002). OA is hopeful that new HIV rapid testing, introduced in 2003-04, gradually replaces older test technologies in many sites, and the number of clients who do not receive their HIV test results be greatly reduced in following years.

Figure 14



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Figure 15



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Missing Information on the Counseling Information Form

The 2003 data suggest that counselors are still not completely recording information on the CIF. However, the amount of missing information has decreased for most items on the CIF, possibly due to HIV counselor increasing familiarity with the new CIF form that was introduced in 2001. Table 1 reports selected items from the form and the corresponding percent of missing data (i.e., item was blank). San Francisco County contributed more than half of the cases with missing data. When San Francisco County records were excluded, the date of birth was missing in just 3.2 percent of remaining records, and residence county was missing from only 1.6 percent of the CIF forms, and residence ZIP Code was missing only 2.1 percent of the time. Although missing data on demographic items (from the front side of CIF) continued to decline, missing data increased on most items measuring client risk behaviors (from the back side of CIF) in 2003. While the percent of missing responses for the number of sex partners, having a male partner, and having a female partner increased by less than 3 percent points, missing data on having had sex with a prostitute, an injection drug using partner, or an HIV-infected partner, increased by over 4 percent.

Table 1

Selected HIV CIF Items by Percentage of Missing Data*	
<i>Front Side of CIF</i>	<i>Percent Missing</i>
Race	1.4%
Date of Birth	8.6%
Gender	0.7%
First letter of last name	13.8%
Residence county	5.6%
Residence ZIP Code	4.8%
Client was referred by	2.7%
Client's reason for testing	5.3%
Number of prior HIV tests	2.4%
Last test result	2.9%
Risk reduction goal	22.5%
<i>Back Side of CIF</i>	<i>Percent Missing</i>
Number of sex partners	2.6%
Male partner	4.9%
Female partner	6.9%
Sex with prostitute	9.6%
Injection drug using partner	9.3%
HIV-infected partner	9.9%
Male partner who had sex with male (females only)	13.9%
STD risk	8.9%

*Declined/refused response is not counted as missing.

Prepared by CDHS/OA.

Follow up with High-Risk/No-Show Confidentially Tested Clients

Follow-up services for clients who fail to appear for disclosure counseling continue to be either seriously under utilized by confidential testing sites or information is simply not recorded on the CIF. About 30 percent of confidentially tested clients failed to return for a test result. Only 39 percent of all eligible clients (i.e., confidentially tested, high-risk, no-show) had a follow-up service reported on the form. Statewide this translates to 6,069 confidential high-risk or HIV-positive test takers who did not initially receive a test result and were subsequently contacted. Of those confidentially tested no-show clients

contacted through follow-up, 38 percent returned for their test result, an improvement from the 33 percent that returned after follow-up contact in 2002. Among confidentially tested HIV-positive results, 493 (29 percent) did not return for the test result. Of the 250 follow-ups made for confidentially tested HIV-positive clients, 129 (52 percent) returned for the test result. Given that confidentially tested clients are identifiable, technically there should be no HIV-positive result that is undisclosed for this group. Additionally, while HIV-negative results cannot be provided after 60 days from the test date, an HIV-positive result may be disclosed at any time.

Attempting to reschedule a missed disclosure session provides a second chance for clients to receive their test result and for counselors to provide additional prevention counseling and referrals, in particular for the HIV-positive clients. Making the follow-up attempt and recording the date on the form increases reimbursement by \$10 for high-risk clients and \$25 for HIV-positive and HIV-inconclusive clients. Had all confidential follow-ups been attempted and all clients responded, an additional 9,465 clients would have known their HIV status, including 243 HIV-positive clients. However, the implementation of rapid HIV testing should greatly reduce, and possibly eliminate no-shows.

Referrals for High-Risk Clients

In 2003, 9 percent of high-risk client risk assessments had 'NONE' or missing information for the risk assessment referral column (3 percent points less than in 2002). Additionally, 25 percent of high-risk disclosure sessions had 'NONE' or missing information in the disclosure referral column, about the same level as in 2002. Approximately 20,002 high-risk testers had no disclosure referral indicated. All high-risk and HIV-positive clients should receive a referral at the disclosure session. Disclosure session referrals are reimbursed at \$5 for high-risk clients and \$15 for HIV-positive and HIV-inconclusive clients.

Counselor Turnover

There were 1,884 counselors in 2003, an increase of 85 counselors from 2002 and nearly 200 more counselors than reported in 2001. From 2002 to 2003, approximately 600 HIV counselors discontinued working at OA-funded test sites. Consistent with the previous year, this represents 32 percent of the 2003 HIV counselor workforce and is still fewer counselors than the number who discontinued in 2001 (n=640). When turnover was examined by workload level for the year, it was found that 48 percent of the counselors who left had provided fewer than ten risk assessments sessions. About 35 percent of HIV counselors had provided between 11 and 58 sessions, 14 percent between 59-261 sessions, and 4 percent had provided 262 or more risk assessments during the year. Counselors who provide little HIV counseling are more likely to leave compared with counselors who engage in higher counseling workloads. This trend was observed in 2001 and 2002 as well. These data suggest that high levels of counselor turnover are the norm rather than the exception. With a third of the HIV counselor workforce leaving each year, strategies and incentives need to be considered to help retain HIV counselors and weed out individuals prior to training who are not prepared to make a longer term commitment.


Counselor Workload

Counselor workload was calculated by averaging the number of weekly risk assessments performed by each counselor. The average number of risk assessment sessions performed each week by HIV counselors was just over three sessions (mean=3.1). Counselors who provide few sessions each week may not be gaining the necessary experience to become proficient HIV counselors. In many cases, HIV counselors also work in other capacities within a clinic or health department, some counselors are volunteers, and others have part-time jobs outside of the testing clinic. Additionally, the needs of rural versus urban and suburban HIV testing sites are very different when it comes to HIV counselor personnel needs.

HIV Counselor Training

Examination of enrollment in OA-sponsored HIV counselor trainings (including the two components of the Basic Training series and CETs) demonstrated that in 2000 more than two-thirds of the people attending Basic I training did not actually engage in HIV counseling. As a result, a number of changes in the requirements for enrolling potential HIV counselors in OA-sponsored trainings were implemented in 2001. These policy modifications are fully described in the 2001 C&T Report. As a result of these changes, the percentage of active counselors (counselors who perform five or more counseling sessions a year) who are enrolled in the training classes has increased. This change is most clearly seen in CETs, in which enrollment of active counselors increased by 29 percent over 2001 (Figure 16). This represents significant progress once policy changes were made and implemented. Additionally, the overall number of participants attending the Basic I counselor training dropped from 530 participants in 2000 to 195 participants in 2003, a 63 percent reduction in the number of individuals being sent to the initial training.

Figure 16



Prepared by CDHS/OA.

While there has been an increase in the percentage of active counselors attending OA-sponsored trainings, quality assurance measures indicate a need to reduce counselor turnover and increase counselor workload to improve the quality of client-centered HIV counseling. Increasing follow-up services for confidentially tested clients will assist in reducing the number of clients who do not receive their test results. The percentage of clients who do not receive their HIV test results will be substantially reduced as the number of rapid HIV testing sites increases. Improved services and counseling quality has a direct impact on assisting clients on strategies to reduce their risks for HIV and encouraging clients to return for their HIV test results.

HIV Counseling and Testing Tables

HIV C&T data are reported to OA by county/city local health departments (LHDs) through contracts and agreements with OA to provide these services. Programs funded through LHDs are divided into legislatively mandated anonymous testing services at ATS, anonymous, and confidential services at county or municipal STD and other clinics, Indian health programs, and other venues (referred to in the tables as Non-ATS LHDs). This section of the report contains 20 tables of client data from OA-funded programs and also presents limited client data from non-OA-funded programs (e.g., DADP). Data are accumulated annually.

Effective July 1994, major changes were made to the system used to collect and report client data to OA and a new client-centered counseling approach was implemented. Further modifications to the data collection system were implemented in July 1997, including the introduction of a more comprehensive HIV CIF (DHS 8458). Much more detailed risk behavior information is now being discussed with clients and collected for analysis with the introduction of the fifth version of the CIF in January 2001. Clients voluntarily provide risk information during the counseling sessions, and the heading "Unknown" in any table means that the client did not provide an item of information. The "HIV Risk Behavior Category" variable presented in some tables is not strictly comparable with that variable as reported before July 1, 1994.

The basic tabulated information is a client visit/service unit. The service unit includes, at a minimum, an HIV risk assessment session. If the client elected to be tested, the client visit also includes a test result. For those clients who elected to be tested the service includes a disclosure session, unless the client did not return for the result. A client may have obtained more than one HIV test in a reporting period; in this case each test and its related services is reported separately. It is important to keep in mind that these data represent C&T services administered through these programs and, hence, recipients of these services are a self-selected group. **Therefore, these data should not be interpreted as representing discrete persons or the population of California in general.**

Guide to HIV Counseling and Testing Tables

Tables 1 through 6 are for all services, both OA-funded and non-OA-funded, and include information on volume of testing per quarter and month, program and clinic type, and client risk. Tables 7 through 16 report OA-funded services only and contain information on race, age group, gender, client risk, pregnancy status, outreach clients, past STD, STD/hepatitis diagnosis, number of sex partners, number of prior HIV tests, and program type and agency. OA-funded services for clients who have been tested are described in Tables 17 through 20 and include information on race, risk group, gender, drug use, no show rates, and disclosure referrals. Tables 21 and 22 provide a summary of risk group, gender, race, and age group for OA-funded services provide to clients who elected not to be tested. Below is a description of each table.

OA- and Non-OA-Funded Services

Table 1 presents total C&T services by quarter and month provided by OA-funded programs in 2003 for all clients who have tested or who have chosen not to be tested.

Table 2 presents the same information as Table 1, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to OA.

Table 3 provides test result and risk assessment-only services for OA-funded programs by program and clinic type.

Table 4 is the same information as Table 3, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to OA.

Table 5 provides test result and risk assessment-only services at OA-funded programs by a categorical assignment of client risk. Behaviors reported by the client on the HIV CIF (DHS 8458) are ranked by decreasing risk of exposure and the client is assigned the category producing the greatest probable risk for contracting HIV. These risk groups are further subdivided by gender.

Table 6 has the same information as Table 5, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to OA.

All OA-Funded Services

Table 7 summarizes test results and risk assessment-only services by race and gender.

Table 8 shows test results and risk assessment-only services by age group and gender.

Table 9 summarizes risk groups by gender and age group.

Table 10 shows test results for female clients by risk behavior and pregnancy status.

Table 11 shows test results for outreach clients (those reached through a mobile van or referred by an OA outreach worker) by risk behavior and gender.

Table 12 presents recent (past two years) STD history by risk behavior and gender.

Table 13 presents specific STD/hepatitis diagnosis by risk behavior and gender.

Table 14 summarizes the number of sex partners in the past year by risk behavior and gender.

Table 15 summarizes the number of prior HIV tests by risk behavior and gender.

Table 16 provides test results by program type and LHD.

OA-Funded Services for Clients Who Have Tested

Table 17 describes counseling and testing clients by race, risk group, and gender.

Table 18 describes C&T clients by drug use, gender, and test result.

Table 19 provides no-show rates by program and LHD for both OA- and non-OA-funded programs.

Table 20 shows disclosure referrals at different levels of client risk.

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 1. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY YEAR, QUARTER, AND MONTH			TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES BY MONTH	
			POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		TOTAL BY MONTH			
			NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
YEAR TESTED	QUARTER	MONTH TESTED												
2003	1	JANUARY	257	1.5	17100	98.4	19	0.1	17376	9.6	778	8.0	18154	9.5
		FEBRUARY	220	1.5	14464	98.3	24	0.2	14708	8.1	664	6.9	15372	8.1
		MARCH	268	1.7	15477	98.1	28	0.2	15773	8.7	760	7.9	16533	8.7
		SUBTOTAL	745	1.6	47041	98.3	71	0.1	47857	26.5	2202	22.8	50059	26.3
	2	MONTH TESTED												
		APRIL	232	1.5	15028	98.3	32	0.2	15292	8.5	793	8.2	16085	8.5
		MAY	263	1.8	14009	97.9	39	0.3	14311	7.9	764	7.9	15075	7.9
		JUNE	308	2.0	15098	97.7	50	0.3	15456	8.6	714	7.4	16170	8.5
		SUBTOTAL	803	1.8	44135	97.9	121	0.3	45059	25.0	2271	23.6	47330	24.9
	3	MONTH TESTED												
		JULY	277	1.7	15862	97.8	75	0.5	16214	9.0	998	10.4	17212	9.1
		AUGUST	244	1.6	14978	98.2	30	0.2	15252	8.4	914	9.5	16166	8.5
		SEPTEMBER	219	1.5	14789	98.3	41	0.3	15049	8.3	947	9.8	15996	8.4
		SUBTOTAL	740	1.6	45629	98.1	146	0.3	46515	25.8	2859	29.7	49374	26.0
	4	MONTH TESTED												
		OCTOBER	250	1.6	15535	98.1	49	0.3	15834	8.8	837	8.7	16671	8.8
		NOVEMBER	201	1.7	11685	98.1	28	0.2	11914	6.6	605	6.3	12519	6.6
		DECEMBER	211	1.6	13054	97.9	64	0.5	13329	7.4	866	9.0	14195	7.5
		SUBTOTAL	662	1.6	40274	98.0	141	0.3	41077	22.8	2308	23.9	43385	22.8
TOTAL BY RESULT			2950	1.6	177079	98.1	479	0.3	180508	100	9640	100	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 2. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY YEAR, QUARTER, AND MONTH			TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES BY MONTH	
			POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		TOTAL BY MONTH			
			NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
YEAR TESTED	QUARTER	MONTH TESTED												
2003	1	JANUARY	21	1.1	1844	98.9			1865	8.6	137	8.1	2002	8.5
		FEBRUARY	20	1.1	1749	98.7	3	0.2	1772	8.1	91	5.4	1863	7.9
		MARCH	24	1.3	1768	98.6	1	0.1	1793	8.2	79	4.7	1872	8.0
		SUBTOTAL	65	1.2	5361	98.7	4	0.1	5430	25.0	307	18.2	5737	24.5
	2	MONTH TESTED												
		APRIL	24	1.5	1551	98.2	5	0.3	1580	7.3	67	4.0	1647	7.0
		MAY	21	1.4	1449	98.4	3	0.2	1473	6.8	92	5.4	1565	6.7
		JUNE	26	1.6	1618	98.3	2	0.1	1646	7.6	41	2.4	1687	7.2
		SUBTOTAL	71	1.5	4618	98.3	10	0.2	4699	21.6	200	11.8	4899	20.9
	3	MONTH TESTED												
		JULY	22	0.9	2312	99.0	1	0.0	2335	10.7	256	15.1	2591	11.1
		AUGUST	20	1.1	1800	98.7	3	0.2	1823	8.4	160	9.5	1983	8.5
		SEPTEMBER	23	1.2	1890	98.5	6	0.3	1919	8.8	140	8.3	2059	8.8
		SUBTOTAL	65	1.1	6002	98.8	10	0.2	6077	27.9	556	32.9	6633	28.3
	4	MONTH TESTED												
		OCTOBER	21	0.9	2205	99.0	2	0.1	2228	10.2	252	14.9	2480	10.6
		NOVEMBER	22	1.3	1729	98.5	4	0.2	1755	8.1	174	10.3	1929	8.2
		DECEMBER	19	1.2	1532	98.4	6	0.4	1557	7.2	202	11.9	1759	7.5
		SUBTOTAL	62	1.1	5466	98.7	12	0.2	5540	25.5	628	37.1	6168	26.3
TOTAL BY RESULT			263	1.2	21447	98.6	36	0.2	21746	100	1691	100	23437	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 3. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE		TOTAL BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	CLINIC TYPE												
LHJ PROGRAM	ALTERNATIVE TEST SITE	764	1.6	45852	98.2	97	0.2	46713	26.1	4412	47.0	51125	27.1
	FAMILY PLANNING	9	0.6	1509	99.3	2	0.1	1520	0.8	125	1.3	1645	0.9
	STD CLINIC	372	1.2	30697	98.7	21	0.1	31090	17.4	3102	33.0	34192	18.1
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	26	0.5	5140	99.3	11	0.2	5177	2.9	270	2.9	5447	2.9
	DETENTION FACILITY	106	0.7	14078	99.1	27	0.2	14211	7.9	408	4.3	14619	7.8
	PRIMARY CARE	105	1.9	5356	97.9	12	0.2	5473	3.1	21	0.2	5494	2.9
	HIV TESTING	950	2.8	33151	96.8	135	0.4	34236	19.1	293	3.1	34529	18.3
	STREET OUTREACH	91	1.5	5791	98.1	21	0.4	5903	3.3	245	2.6	6148	3.3
	MOBILE VAN	373	1.4	26064	98.3	70	0.3	26507	14.8	303	3.2	26810	14.2
	TB SCREENING	3	1.4	203	98.1	1	0.5	207	0.1	3	0.0	210	0.1
	YOUTH DROP IN	19	1.4	1365	98.6	1	0.1	1385	0.8	4	0.0	1389	0.7
	OTHER HD	87	2.5	3332	97.0	16	0.5	3435	1.9	66	0.7	3501	1.9
	OTHER	42	1.3	3044	96.6	65	2.1	3151	1.8	134	1.4	3285	1.7
	SUBTOTAL	2947	1.6	175582	98.1	479	0.3	179008	99.2	9386	97.4	188394	99.1
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	CLINIC TYPE												
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT			2	100			2	0.2			2	0.2
	PRIMARY CARE	1	0.1	1000	99.9			1001	99.1	246	98.0	1247	98.9
	HIV TESTING			6	100			6	0.6	4	1.6	10	0.8
	MOBILE VAN			1	100			1	0.1	1	0.4	2	0.2
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.1	1009	99.9			1010	0.6	251	2.6	1261	0.7
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	CLINIC TYPE												
	PRIMARY CARE	2	0.4	486	99.6			488	99.6	3	100	491	99.6
	HIV TESTING			1	100			1	0.2			1	0.2
	OTHER HD			1	100			1	0.2			1	0.2
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.4	488	99.6			490	0.3	3	0.0	493	0.3
TOTAL BY RESULT		2950	1.6	177079	98.1	479	0.3	180508	100	9640	100	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 HD = Health Department
 OA = Office of AIDS
 STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
 TB = Tuberculosis
 LHJ = Local Health Jurisdiction

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 4. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE		TOTAL BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	CLINIC TYPE												
LHJ PROGRAM	ALTERNATIVE TEST SITE	1	2.9	34	97.1			35	0.2	2	0.1	37	0.2
	FAMILY PLANNING	1	1.6	60	98.4			61	0.4	3	0.2	64	0.4
	STD CLINIC	36	1.0	3575	98.9	5	0.1	3616	22.5	875	60.1	4491	25.6
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	24	0.6	4118	99.2	8	0.2	4150	25.8	229	15.7	4379	24.9
	DETENTION FACILITY	72	3.7	1868	96.0	6	0.3	1946	12.1	10	0.7	1956	11.1
	PRIMARY CARE	3	1.9	151	98.1			154	1.0	2	0.1	156	0.9
	HIV TESTING	92	2.2	4144	97.6	8	0.2	4244	26.4	5	0.3	4249	24.2
	STREET OUTREACH	1	1.1	92	98.9			93	0.6	1	0.1	94	0.5
	MOBILE VAN	3	0.3	974	99.7			977	6.1	2	0.1	979	5.6
	TB SCREENING	2	1.3	153	98.7			155	1.0	52	3.6	207	1.2
	YOUTH DROP IN			27	100			27	0.2			27	0.2
	OTHER HD	1	0.8	125	96.9	3	2.3	129	0.8	14	1.0	143	0.8
	OTHER	9	1.7	509	98.1	1	0.2	519	3.2	262	18.0	781	4.4
	SUBTOTAL	245	1.5	15830	98.3	31	0.2	16106	74.1	1457	86.2	17563	74.9
DADP	CLINIC TYPE												
	FAMILY PLANNING			3	100			3	0.1	1	0.4	4	0.1
	STD CLINIC			35	100			35	0.6			35	0.6
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	17	0.3	5137	99.6	5	0.1	5159	91.5	206	88.0	5365	91.3
	DETENTION FACILITY			194	100			194	3.4	1	0.4	195	3.3
	PRIMARY CARE			2	100			2	0.0			2	0.0
	HIV TESTING			5	100			5	0.1			5	0.1
	STREET OUTREACH			1	100			1	0.0	1	0.4	2	0.0
	MOBILE VAN	1	2.4	40	97.6			41	0.7			41	0.7
	TB SCREENING			1	100			1	0.0			1	0.0
	OTHER HD			5	100			5	0.1			5	0.1
	OTHER			194	100			194	3.4	25	10.7	219	3.7
	SUBTOTAL	18	0.3	5617	99.6	5	0.1	5640	25.9	234	13.8	5874	25.1
TOTAL BY RESULT		263	1.2	21447	98.6	36	0.2	21746	100	1691	100	23437	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

CDHS = California Department of Health Services
DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
HD = Health Department
OA = Office of AIDS
STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
TB = Tuberculosis
LHJ = Local Health Jurisdiction

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 5. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	1220	4.2	27543	95.5	67	0.2	28830	100	2108	100	30938	100
	SUBTOTAL	1220	4.2	27543	95.5	67	0.2	28830	16.0	2108	21.9	30938	16.3
BISEXUAL	MALE	237	3.3	6862	96.3	23	0.3	7122	67.6	193	65.9	7315	67.5
	FEMALE	13	0.4	3396	99.4	7	0.2	3416	32.4	100	34.1	3516	32.5
	SUBTOTAL	250	2.4	10258	97.3	30	0.3	10538	5.8	293	3.0	10831	5.7
IDU	MALE	214	2.0	10317	97.7	31	0.3	10562	61.3	182	67.9	10744	61.4
	FEMALE	83	1.4	6019	98.2	29	0.5	6131	35.6	79	29.5	6210	35.5
	TG	35	8.5	376	91.5			411	2.4	3	1.1	414	2.4
	UNKNOWN	2	1.7	115	96.6	2	1.7	119	0.7	4	1.5	123	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	334	1.9	16827	97.7	62	0.4	17223	9.5	268	2.8	17491	9.2
GAY/IDU	MALE	190	11.1	1517	88.6	5	0.3	1712	100	16	100	1728	100
	SUBTOTAL	190	11.1	1517	88.6	5	0.3	1712	0.9	16	0.2	1728	0.9
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	46	5.1	846	94.6	2	0.2	894	37.7	13	37.1	907	37.7
	FEMALE	55	4.0	1316	95.8	3	0.2	1374	58.0	22	62.9	1396	58.0
	TG	8	11.9	58	86.6	1	1.5	67	2.8			67	2.8
	UNKNOWN	4	11.1	32	88.9			36	1.5			36	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	113	4.8	2252	95.0	6	0.3	2371	1.3	35	0.4	2406	1.3
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	13	0.8	1670	99.2	1	0.1	1684	100	10	100	1694	100
	SUBTOTAL	13	0.8	1670	99.2	1	0.1	1684	0.9	10	0.1	1694	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	35	0.8	4417	98.8	17	0.4	4469	55.0	28	52.8	4497	55.0
	FEMALE	25	0.7	3467	99.1	7	0.2	3499	43.0	23	43.4	3522	43.0
	TG	1	1.7	59	98.3			60	0.7			60	0.7
	UNKNOWN	2	2.0	100	98.0			102	1.3	2	3.8	104	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	63	0.8	8043	98.9	24	0.3	8130	4.5	53	0.5	8183	4.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	14	1.6	878	98.2	2	0.2	894	30.4	15	33.3	909	30.4
	FEMALE	31	1.7	1792	97.7	12	0.7	1835	62.4	23	51.1	1858	62.2
	TG	9	4.8	177	95.2			186	6.3	7	15.6	193	6.5
	UNKNOWN			28	100			28	1.0			28	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	54	1.8	2875	97.7	14	0.5	2943	1.6	45	0.5	2988	1.6
TRANSFUSION	MALE	8	1.8	449	98.2			457	51.6	3	60.0	460	51.7
	FEMALE	8	1.9	407	97.6	2	0.5	417	47.1	2	40.0	419	47.1
	TG	1	50.0	1	50.0			2	0.2			2	0.2
	UNKNOWN			9	100			9	1.0			9	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	17	1.9	866	97.9	2	0.2	885	0.5	5	0.1	890	0.5

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 5. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	226	0.5	41861	99.2	98	0.2	42185	63.9	1754	63.0	43939	63.9
	FEMALE	90	0.4	22717	99.4	44	0.2	22851	34.6	994	35.7	23845	34.7
	TG	16	4.8	313	94.8	1	0.3	330	0.5	13	0.5	343	0.5
	UNKNOWN	1	0.2	619	99.4	3	0.5	623	0.9	23	0.8	646	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	333	0.5	65510	99.3	146	0.2	65989	36.6	2784	28.9	68773	36.2
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	2	4.1	47	95.9			49	32.2			49	32.2
	FEMALE			100	99.0	1	1.0	101	66.4			101	66.4
	TG			1	100			1	0.7			1	0.7
	UNKNOWN			1	100			1	0.7			1	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	2	1.3	149	98.0	1	0.7	152	0.1			152	0.1
PARENT RISK	MALE	1	33.3	2	66.7			3	60.0			3	60.0
	FEMALE	1	50.0	1	50.0			2	40.0			2	40.0
	SUBTOTAL	2	40.0	3	60.0			5	0.0			5	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	181	1.0	17139	98.7	49	0.3	17369	45.8	896	43.0	18265	45.7
	FEMALE	110	0.5	19914	99.1	61	0.3	20085	53.0	1149	55.2	21234	53.1
	TG	4	3.1	126	96.9			130	0.3	5	0.2	135	0.3
	UNKNOWN	3	1.0	303	98.1	3	1.0	309	0.8	33	1.6	342	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	298	0.8	37482	98.9	113	0.3	37893	21.0	2083	21.6	39976	21.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	47	3.8	1187	96.0	2	0.2	1236	57.4	1322	68.1	2558	62.5
	FEMALE	12	1.5	767	97.8	5	0.6	784	36.4	491	25.3	1275	31.2
	TG	2	11.1	16	88.9			18	0.8	4	0.2	22	0.5
	UNKNOWN			114	99.1	1	0.9	115	5.3	123	6.3	238	5.8
	SUBTOTAL	61	2.8	2084	96.8	8	0.4	2153	1.2	1940	20.1	4093	2.2
TOTAL BY RESULT		2950	1.6	177079	98.1	479	0.3	180508	100	9640	100	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 6. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	84	7.3	1068	92.4	4	0.3	1156	100	180	100	1336	100
	SUBTOTAL	84	7.3	1068	92.4	4	0.3	1156	5.3	180	10.6	1336	5.7
BISEXUAL	MALE	20	4.3	441	95.2	2	0.4	463	43.6	52	65.8	515	45.1
	FEMALE	2	0.3	595	99.2	3	0.5	600	56.4	27	34.2	627	54.9
	SUBTOTAL	22	2.1	1036	97.5	5	0.5	1063	4.9	79	4.7	1142	4.9
IDU	MALE	9	0.5	1797	99.3	3	0.2	1809	51.8	45	57.7	1854	51.9
	FEMALE	14	0.8	1641	98.9	4	0.2	1659	47.5	32	41.0	1691	47.3
	TG	2	12.5	14	87.5			16	0.5	1	1.3	17	0.5
	UNKNOWN			11	100			11	0.3			11	0.3
	SUBTOTAL	25	0.7	3463	99.1	7	0.2	3495	16.1	78	4.6	3573	15.2
GAY/IDU	MALE	16	8.5	172	91.0	1	0.5	189	100	10	100	199	100
	SUBTOTAL	16	8.5	172	91.0	1	0.5	189	0.9	10	0.6	199	0.8
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	2	2.7	72	96.0	1	1.3	75	35.4			75	33.9
	FEMALE	6	4.9	117	95.1			123	58.0	6	66.7	129	58.4
	TG	1	7.1	13	92.9			14	6.6	3	33.3	17	7.7
	SUBTOTAL	9	4.2	202	95.3	1	0.5	212	1.0	9	0.5	221	0.9
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1	0.5	193	99.0	1	0.5	195	100	3	100	198	100
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.5	193	99.0	1	0.5	195	0.9	3	0.2	198	0.8
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	6	0.8	782	99.2			788	49.2	15	57.7	803	49.3
	FEMALE	3	0.4	802	99.6			805	50.2	10	38.5	815	50.0
	TG	1	16.7	5	83.3			6	0.4	1	3.8	7	0.4
	UNKNOWN			4	100			4	0.2			4	0.2
	SUBTOTAL	10	0.6	1593	99.4			1603	7.4	26	1.5	1629	7.0
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE			205	99.5	1	0.5	206	14.0	20	17.1	226	14.2
	FEMALE	15	1.3	1149	98.5	2	0.2	1166	79.1	85	72.6	1251	78.6
	TG	19	18.8	81	80.2	1	1.0	101	6.8	11	9.4	112	7.0
	UNKNOWN			2	100			2	0.1	1	0.9	3	0.2
	SUBTOTAL	34	2.3	1437	97.4	4	0.3	1475	6.8	117	6.9	1592	6.8
TRANSFUSION	MALE			57	98.3	1	1.7	58	49.6			58	48.7
	FEMALE			59	100			59	50.4	1	50.0	60	50.4
	UNKNOWN									1	50.0	1	0.8
	SUBTOTAL			116	99.1	1	0.9	117	0.5	2	0.1	119	0.5
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	16	0.3	4826	99.5	7	0.1	4849	66.0	427	73.5	5276	66.5
	FEMALE	16	0.7	2402	99.3	2	0.1	2420	32.9	146	25.1	2566	32.3
	TG	2	4.3	44	95.7			46	0.6	5	0.9	51	0.6
	UNKNOWN			36	100			36	0.5	3	0.5	39	0.5
	SUBTOTAL	34	0.5	7308	99.4	9	0.1	7351	33.8	581	34.4	7932	33.8

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 6. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE			3	100			3	60.0	1	100	4	66.7
	FEMALE			2	100			2	40.0			2	33.3
	SUBTOTAL			5	100			5	0.0	1	0.1	6	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	14	0.5	2533	99.3	3	0.1	2550	53.6	321	56.5	2871	53.9
	FEMALE	10	0.5	2161	99.5			2171	45.6	235	41.4	2406	45.2
	TG			17	100			17	0.4	3	0.5	20	0.4
	UNKNOWN	1	5.6	17	94.4			18	0.4	9	1.6	27	0.5
	SUBTOTAL	25	0.5	4728	99.4	3	0.1	4756	21.9	568	33.6	5324	22.7
UNKNOWN	MALE	3	5.5	52	94.5			55	42.6	23	62.2	78	47.0
	FEMALE			68	100			68	52.7	13	35.1	81	48.8
	TG			1	100			1	0.8			1	0.6
	UNKNOWN			5	100			5	3.9	1	2.7	6	3.6
	SUBTOTAL	3	2.3	126	97.7			129	0.6	37	2.2	166	0.7
TOTAL BY RESULT		263	1.2	21447	98.6	36	0.2	21746	100	1691	100	23437	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 7. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RACE AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RACE AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
AFRICAN AMERICAN	MALE	522	2.7	18439	97.0	57	0.3	19018	60.4	1154	64.0	20172	60.6
	FEMALE	171	1.4	11917	98.1	54	0.4	12142	38.6	630	34.9	12772	38.4
	TG	36	28.6	90	71.4			126	0.4	8	0.4	134	0.4
	UNKNOWN	2	1.1	174	98.9			176	0.6	11	0.6	187	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	731	2.3	30620	97.3	111	0.4	31462	17.4	1803	18.7	33265	17.5
AMERICAN INDIAN	MALE	26	2.1	1223	97.7	3	0.2	1252	49.4	34	59.6	1286	49.6
	FEMALE	11	0.9	1228	99.0	1	0.1	1240	48.9	22	38.6	1262	48.7
	TG	2	8.7	21	91.3			23	0.9			23	0.9
	UNKNOWN			19	100			19	0.7	1	1.8	20	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	39	1.5	2491	98.3	4	0.2	2534	1.4	57	0.6	2591	1.4
ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER	MALE	94	1.5	6216	98.3	15	0.2	6325	61.6	473	69.5	6798	62.1
	FEMALE	14	0.4	3819	99.4	8	0.2	3841	37.4	200	29.4	4041	36.9
	TG	4	8.2	45	91.8			49	0.5	2	0.3	51	0.5
	UNKNOWN			50	98.0	1	2.0	51	0.5	6	0.9	57	0.5
	SUBTOTAL	112	1.1	10130	98.7	24	0.2	10266	5.7	681	7.1	10947	5.8
LATINO	MALE	814	2.2	35718	97.5	103	0.3	36635	65.1	1306	61.1	37941	64.9
	FEMALE	129	0.7	18416	99.0	48	0.3	18593	33.0	796	37.2	19389	33.2
	TG	22	2.9	733	96.8	2	0.3	757	1.3	12	0.6	769	1.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.3	319	99.1	2	0.6	322	0.6	23	1.1	345	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	966	1.7	55186	98.0	155	0.3	56307	31.2	2137	22.2	58444	30.7
WHITE	MALE	886	1.9	46729	97.9	104	0.2	47719	66.1	3261	74.4	50980	66.6
	FEMALE	100	0.4	23672	99.4	53	0.2	23825	33.0	1087	24.8	24912	32.5
	TG	11	4.8	216	95.2			227	0.3	8	0.2	235	0.3
	UNKNOWN	5	1.2	415	97.6	5	1.2	425	0.6	27	0.6	452	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	1002	1.4	71032	98.4	162	0.2	72196	40.0	4383	45.5	76579	40.3
OTHER RACE	MALE	64	1.8	3393	98.0	5	0.1	3462	66.3	226	58.7	3688	65.8
	FEMALE	14	0.8	1663	99.0	2	0.1	1679	32.2	112	29.1	1791	31.9
	TG	1	5.6	17	94.4			18	0.3	1	0.3	19	0.3
	UNKNOWN			63	100			63	1.2	46	11.9	109	1.9
	SUBTOTAL	79	1.5	5136	98.4	7	0.1	5222	2.9	385	4.0	5607	2.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	15	1.1	1347	98.2	9	0.7	1371	54.4	76	39.2	1447	53.3
	FEMALE	2	0.2	851	99.1	6	0.7	859	34.1	46	23.7	905	33.3
	TG			5	100			5	0.2	1	0.5	6	0.2
	UNKNOWN	4	1.4	281	98.3	1	0.3	286	11.3	71	36.6	357	13.1
	SUBTOTAL	21	0.8	2484	98.5	16	0.6	2521	1.4	194	2.0	2715	1.4
TOTAL BY RESULT		2950	1.6	177079	98.1	479	0.3	180508	100	9640	100	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 8. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
0-11 YEARS	MALE	3	3.0	98	97.0			101	60.1	9	69.2	110	60.8
	FEMALE	1	1.6	62	96.9	1	1.6	64	38.1	1	7.7	65	35.9
	TG			3	100			3	1.8	2	15.4	5	2.8
	UNKNOWN									1	7.7	1	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	4	2.4	163	97.0	1	0.6	168	0.1	13	0.1	181	0.1
12-19 YEARS	MALE	49	0.5	9372	99.4	10	0.1	9431	50.5	398	47.5	9829	50.4
	FEMALE	26	0.3	8967	99.4	25	0.3	9018	48.3	424	50.6	9442	48.4
	TG	1	1.3	76	98.7			77	0.4	5	0.6	82	0.4
	UNKNOWN	1	0.7	151	99.3			152	0.8	11	1.3	163	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	77	0.4	18566	99.4	35	0.2	18678	10.3	838	8.7	19516	10.3
20-29 YEARS	MALE	586	1.6	36812	98.2	82	0.2	37480	63.4	1859	61.2	39339	63.3
	FEMALE	107	0.5	20664	99.2	52	0.2	20823	35.2	1145	37.7	21968	35.3
	TG	23	4.9	441	94.6	2	0.4	466	0.8	12	0.4	478	0.8
	UNKNOWN	2	0.6	345	98.9	2	0.6	349	0.6	20	0.7	369	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	718	1.2	58262	98.6	138	0.2	59118	32.8	3036	31.5	62154	32.7
30-39 YEARS	MALE	888	2.9	29726	96.8	87	0.3	30701	67.5	2091	74.0	32792	67.8
	FEMALE	126	0.9	13975	98.9	32	0.2	14133	31.1	704	24.9	14837	30.7
	TG	26	6.4	380	93.6			406	0.9	5	0.2	411	0.9
	UNKNOWN	2	0.7	269	98.5	2	0.7	273	0.6	27	1.0	300	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	1042	2.3	44350	97.4	121	0.3	45513	25.2	2827	29.3	48340	25.4
40-49 YEARS	MALE	630	2.9	21250	96.8	69	0.3	21949	65.8	1203	76.8	23152	66.3
	FEMALE	120	1.1	10901	98.5	45	0.4	11066	33.2	341	21.8	11407	32.7
	TG	23	15.5	125	84.5			148	0.4	4	0.3	152	0.4
	UNKNOWN	5	2.5	196	96.1	3	1.5	204	0.6	19	1.2	223	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	778	2.3	32472	97.3	117	0.4	33367	18.5	1567	16.3	34934	18.4
50-59 YEARS	MALE	142	1.6	8926	98.2	25	0.3	9093	69.4	459	77.8	9552	69.8
	FEMALE	54	1.4	3784	98.2	14	0.4	3852	29.4	121	20.5	3973	29.0
	TG	2	3.2	60	96.8			62	0.5	1	0.2	63	0.5
	UNKNOWN	1	1.1	85	97.7	1	1.1	87	0.7	9	1.5	96	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	199	1.5	12855	98.2	40	0.3	13094	7.3	590	6.1	13684	7.2
60 YEARS & OLDER	MALE	44	1.4	2989	98.0	17	0.6	3050	76.2	149	83.2	3199	76.5
	FEMALE	5	0.5	905	99.3	1	0.1	911	22.8	25	14.0	936	22.4
	TG			19	100			19	0.5	1	0.6	20	0.5
	UNKNOWN			24	100			24	0.6	4	2.2	28	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	49	1.2	3937	98.3	18	0.4	4004	2.2	179	1.9	4183	2.2

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 8. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
UNKNOWN	MALE	79	2.0	3892	97.9	6	0.2	3977	60.6	362	61.4	4339	60.6
	FEMALE	2	0.1	2308	99.8	2	0.1	2312	35.2	132	22.4	2444	34.2
	TG	1	4.2	23	95.8			24	0.4	2	0.3	26	0.4
	UNKNOWN	1	0.4	251	99.2	1	0.4	253	3.9	94	15.9	347	4.8
	SUBTOTAL	83	1.3	6474	98.6	9	0.1	6566	3.6	590	6.1	7156	3.8
SUBTOTALS BY GENDER													
MALE		2421	2.1	113065	97.7	296	0.3	115782	64.1	6530	67.7	122312	64.3
FEMALE		441	0.7	61566	99.0	172	0.3	62179	34.4	2893	30.0	65072	34.2
TG		76	6.3	1127	93.5	2	0.2	1205	0.7	32	0.3	1237	0.7
UNKNOWN		12	0.9	1321	98.4	9	0.7	1342	0.7	185	1.9	1527	0.8
TOTAL BY RESULT		2950	1.6	177079	98.1	479	0.3	180508	100	9640	100	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgender

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 9. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	25	0.1	1245	4.0	9536	30.8	10506	34.0	5642	18.2	1807	5.8	883	2.9	1294	4.2	30938	100
	SUBTOTAL	25	0.1	1245	4.0	9536	30.8	10506	34.0	5642	18.2	1807	5.8	883	2.9	1294	4.2	30938	16.3
BISEXUAL	MALE	10	0.1	529	7.2	2443	33.4	2009	27.5	1338	18.3	494	6.8	224	3.1	268	3.7	7315	67.5
	FEMALE	3	0.1	570	16.2	1427	40.6	836	23.8	417	11.9	82	2.3	25	0.7	156	4.4	3516	32.5
	SUBTOTAL	13	0.1	1099	10.1	3870	35.7	2845	26.3	1755	16.2	576	5.3	249	2.3	424	3.9	10831	5.7
IDU	MALE	5	0.0	304	2.8	2129	19.8	2888	26.9	3348	31.2	1657	15.4	252	2.3	161	1.5	10744	61.4
	FEMALE	6	0.1	320	5.2	1416	22.8	1808	29.1	2012	32.4	499	8.0	65	1.0	84	1.4	6210	35.5
	TG	2	0.5	20	4.8	158	38.2	146	35.3	60	14.5	21	5.1	3	0.7	4	1.0	414	2.4
	UNKNOWN	1	0.8	11	8.9	24	19.5	24	19.5	33	26.8	18	14.6	1	0.8	11	8.9	123	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	14	0.1	655	3.7	3727	21.3	4866	27.8	5453	31.2	2195	12.5	321	1.8	260	1.5	17491	9.2
GAY/IDU	MALE	3	0.2	65	3.8	477	27.6	517	29.9	470	27.2	128	7.4	18	1.0	50	2.9	1728	100
	SUBTOTAL	3	0.2	65	3.8	477	27.6	517	29.9	470	27.2	128	7.4	18	1.0	50	2.9	1728	0.9
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	2	0.2	38	4.2	195	21.5	251	27.7	252	27.8	119	13.1	26	2.9	24	2.6	907	37.7
	FEMALE			87	6.2	296	21.2	434	31.1	395	28.3	112	8.0	24	1.7	48	3.4	1396	58.0
	TG	1	1.5			24	35.8	28	41.8	8	11.9	4	6.0			2	3.0	67	2.8
	UNKNOWN			2	5.6	3	8.3	9	25.0	10	27.8	5	13.9	1	2.8	6	16.7	36	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	3	0.1	127	5.3	518	21.5	722	30.0	665	27.6	240	10.0	51	2.1	80	3.3	2406	1.3
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	2	0.1	190	11.2	503	29.7	402	23.7	359	21.2	150	8.9	19	1.1	69	4.1	1694	100
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.1	190	11.2	503	29.7	402	23.7	359	21.2	150	8.9	19	1.1	69	4.1	1694	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	2	0.0	335	7.4	1219	27.1	1195	26.6	1094	24.3	452	10.1	102	2.3	98	2.2	4497	55.0
	FEMALE			467	13.3	1014	28.8	933	26.5	792	22.5	223	6.3	26	0.7	67	1.9	3522	43.0
	TG			2	3.3	26	43.3	24	40.0	7	11.7					1	1.7	60	0.7
	UNKNOWN			10	9.6	31	29.8	20	19.2	15	14.4	6	5.8	4	3.8	18	17.3	104	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.0	814	9.9	2290	28.0	2172	26.5	1908	23.3	681	8.3	132	1.6	184	2.2	8183	4.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE			86	9.5	280	30.8	228	25.1	208	22.9	69	7.6	16	1.8	22	2.4	909	30.4
	FEMALE	2	0.1	205	11.0	399	21.5	566	30.5	534	28.7	108	5.8	14	0.8	30	1.6	1858	62.2
	TG			17	8.8	84	43.5	65	33.7	16	8.3	7	3.6	1	0.5	3	1.6	193	6.5
	UNKNOWN			4	14.3	8	28.6	5	17.9	5	17.9	2	7.1			4	14.3	28	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.1	312	10.4	771	25.8	864	28.9	763	25.5	186	6.2	31	1.0	59	2.0	2988	1.6
TRANSFUSION	MALE	1	0.2	10	2.2	92	20.0	92	20.0	121	26.3	102	22.2	29	6.3	13	2.8	460	51.7
	FEMALE	1	0.2	13	3.1	51	12.2	67	16.0	154	36.8	94	22.4	32	7.6	7	1.7	419	47.1
	TG					1	50.0					1	50.0					2	0.2
	UNKNOWN					1	11.1	3	33.3	3	33.3	1	11.1	1	11.1			9	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.2	23	2.6	145	16.3	162	18.2	278	31.2	198	22.2	62	7.0	20	2.2	890	0.5

(Continued)

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 9. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	27	0.1	5480	12.5	17049	38.8	9869	22.5	6458	14.7	2649	6.0	844	1.9	1563	3.6	43939	63.9
	FEMALE	19	0.1	4517	18.9	9674	40.6	4671	19.6	2804	11.8	836	3.5	188	0.8	1136	4.8	23845	34.7
	TG	1	0.3	34	9.9	133	38.8	100	29.2	37	10.8	19	5.5	10	2.9	9	2.6	343	0.5
	UNKNOWN			99	15.3	208	32.2	137	21.2	67	10.4	26	4.0	8	1.2	101	15.6	646	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	47	0.1	10130	14.7	27064	39.4	14777	21.5	9366	13.6	3530	5.1	1050	1.5	2809	4.1	68773	36.2
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE					10	20.4	17	34.7	13	26.5	7	14.3	2	4.1			49	32.2
	FEMALE	1	1.0	6	5.9	22	21.8	28	27.7	25	24.8	13	12.9	3	3.0	3	3.0	101	66.4
	TG					1	100											1	0.7
	UNKNOWN							1	100									1	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.7	6	3.9	33	21.7	46	30.3	38	25.0	20	13.2	5	3.3	3	2.0	152	0.1
PARENT RISK	MALE	3	100															3	60.0
	FEMALE	2	100															2	40.0
	SUBTOTAL	5	100															5	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	19	0.1	1588	8.7	5180	28.4	4571	25.0	3707	20.3	1860	10.2	734	4.0	606	3.3	18265	45.7
	FEMALE	26	0.1	2911	13.7	6739	31.7	4808	22.6	3713	17.5	1776	8.4	516	2.4	745	3.5	21234	53.1
	TG			6	4.4	43	31.9	43	31.9	21	15.6	10	7.4	6	4.4	6	4.4	135	0.3
	UNKNOWN			33	9.6	83	24.3	70	20.5	72	21.1	29	8.5	12	3.5	43	12.6	342	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	45	0.1	4538	11.4	12045	30.1	9492	23.7	7513	18.8	3675	9.2	1268	3.2	1400	3.5	39976	21.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	13	0.5	149	5.8	729	28.5	649	25.4	501	19.6	208	8.1	69	2.7	240	9.4	2558	62.5
	FEMALE	3	0.2	156	12.2	427	33.5	284	22.3	202	15.8	80	6.3	24	1.9	99	7.8	1275	31.2
	TG	1	4.5	3	13.6	8	36.4	5	22.7	3	13.6	1	4.5			1	4.5	22	0.5
	UNKNOWN			4	1.7	11	4.6	31	13.0	18	7.6	9	3.8	1	0.4	164	68.9	238	5.8
	SUBTOTAL	17	0.4	312	7.6	1175	28.7	969	23.7	724	17.7	298	7.3	94	2.3	504	12.3	4093	2.2
TOTAL BY AGE GROUP		181	0.1	19516	10.3	62154	32.7	48340	25.4	34934	18.4	13684	7.2	4183	2.2	7156	3.8	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

CDHS = California Department of Health Services

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgender

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 10. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND PREGNANCY STATUS (FEMALE CLIENTS ONLY)		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND PREGNANCY STATUS		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND PREGNANCY STATUS			
												NUMBER	%
RISK BEHAVIOR	PREGNANT												
BISEXUAL	NO	13	0.4	3352	99.4	7	0.2	3372	98.7	100	100	3472	98.7
	YES			44	100			44	1.3			44	1.3
IDU	NO	83	1.4	5920	98.2	28	0.5	6031	98.4	78	98.7	6109	98.4
	YES			99	99.0	1	1.0	100	1.6	1	1.3	101	1.6
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	NO	54	4.0	1292	95.8	3	0.2	1349	98.2	22	100	1371	98.2
	YES	1	4.0	24	96.0			25	1.8			25	1.8
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	NO	13	0.8	1648	99.2	1	0.1	1662	98.7	10	100	1672	98.7
	YES			22	100			22	1.3			22	1.3
PARTNER OF IDU	NO	23	0.7	3401	99.1	7	0.2	3431	98.1	23	100	3454	98.1
	YES	2	2.9	66	97.1			68	1.9			68	1.9
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	NO	31	1.7	1748	97.6	12	0.7	1791	97.6	23	100	1814	97.6
	YES			44	100			44	2.4			44	2.4
TRANSFUSION	NO	7	1.7	404	97.8	2	0.5	413	99.0	2	100	415	99.0
	YES	1	25.0	3	75.0			4	1.0			4	1.0
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	NO	89	0.4	22193	99.4	43	0.2	22325	97.7	969	97.5	23294	97.7
	YES	1	0.2	524	99.6	1	0.2	526	2.3	25	2.5	551	2.3
OCCUPATIONAL	NO			100	99.0	1	1.0	101	100			101	100
PARENT RISK	NO	1	50.0	1	50.0			2	100			2	100
NO REPORTED RISK	NO	98	0.5	18033	99.1	59	0.3	18190	90.6	889	77.4	19079	89.9
	YES	12	0.6	1881	99.3	2	0.1	1895	9.4	260	22.6	2155	10.1
UNKNOWN	NO	12	1.6	748	97.9	4	0.5	764	97.4	469	95.5	1233	96.7
	YES			19	95.0	1	5.0	20	2.6	22	4.5	42	3.3
SUBTOTALS BY PREGNANCY STATUS													
NO		424	0.7	58840	99.0	167	0.3	59431	95.6	2585	89.4	62016	95.3
YES		17	0.6	2726	99.2	5	0.2	2748	4.4	308	10.6	3056	4.7
TOTAL BY RESULT		441	0.7	61566	99.0	172	0.3	62179	100	2893	100	65072	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES
OUTREACH SERVICES: CLINIC TYPE EQUALS STREET OUTREACH, MOBILE VAN OR
REFERRAL SOURCE EQUALS REFERRED BY OA OUTREACH

TABLE 11. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	155	3.0	5072	96.6	25	0.5	5252	100	292	100	5544	100
	SUBTOTAL	155	3.0	5072	96.6	25	0.5	5252	13.6	292	49.2	5544	14.1
BISEXUAL	MALE	50	2.8	1700	96.6	10	0.6	1760	64.5	54	93.1	1814	65.1
	FEMALE	2	0.2	964	99.6	2	0.2	968	35.5	4	6.9	972	34.9
	SUBTOTAL	52	1.9	2664	97.7	12	0.4	2728	7.1	58	9.8	2786	7.1
IDU	MALE	48	1.2	4017	98.5	12	0.3	4077	60.4	21	65.6	4098	60.4
	FEMALE	29	1.1	2495	98.5	8	0.3	2532	37.5	10	31.3	2542	37.5
	TG	6	6.1	93	93.9			99	1.5			99	1.5
	UNKNOWN			45	95.7	2	4.3	47	0.7	1	3.1	48	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	83	1.2	6650	98.4	22	0.3	6755	17.5	32	5.4	6787	17.3
GAY/IDU	MALE	46	7.9	532	91.6	3	0.5	581	100	5	100	586	100
	SUBTOTAL	46	7.9	532	91.6	3	0.5	581	1.5	5	0.8	586	1.5
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	7	3.5	194	96.0	1	0.5	202	45.3			202	45.3
	FEMALE	6	2.7	215	96.8	1	0.5	222	49.8			222	49.8
	TG	3	20.0	11	73.3	1	6.7	15	3.4			15	3.4
	UNKNOWN			7	100			7	1.6			7	1.6
	SUBTOTAL	16	3.6	427	95.7	3	0.7	446	1.2			446	1.1
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	2	0.5	393	99.5			395	100			395	100
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.5	393	99.5			395	1.0			395	1.0
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	11	0.7	1632	99.1	3	0.2	1646	57.1	2	66.7	1648	57.1
	FEMALE	7	0.6	1181	99.2	2	0.2	1190	41.2	1	33.3	1191	41.2
	TG			12	100			12	0.4			12	0.4
	UNKNOWN	2	5.4	35	94.6			37	1.3			37	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	20	0.7	2860	99.1	5	0.2	2885	7.5	3	0.5	2888	7.4
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	7	2.1	323	97.6	1	0.3	331	31.7	3	23.1	334	31.6
	FEMALE	17	2.6	644	97.0	3	0.5	664	63.5	3	23.1	667	63.0
	TG	3	7.5	37	92.5			40	3.8	7	53.8	47	4.4
	UNKNOWN			10	100			10	1.0			10	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	27	2.6	1014	97.0	4	0.4	1045	2.7	13	2.2	1058	2.7
TRANSFUSION	MALE	1	1.1	91	98.9			92	49.5	2	100	94	50.0
	FEMALE	1	1.1	91	98.9			92	49.5			92	48.9
	TG			1	100			1	0.5			1	0.5
	UNKNOWN			1	100			1	0.5			1	0.5
	SUBTOTAL	2	1.1	184	98.9			186	0.5	2	0.3	188	0.5

(Continued)

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES
OUTREACH SERVICES: CLINIC TYPE EQUALS STREET OUTREACH, MOBILE VAN OR
REFERRAL SOURCE EQUALS REFERRED BY OA OUTREACH

TABLE 11. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	38	0.5	7217	99.3	14	0.2	7269	65.7	29	65.9	7298	65.7
	FEMALE	20	0.5	3616	99.3	5	0.1	3641	32.9	13	29.5	3654	32.9
	TG	1	1.7	57	98.3			58	0.5	1	2.3	59	0.5
	UNKNOWN			99	100			99	0.9	1	2.3	100	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	59	0.5	10989	99.3	19	0.2	11067	28.6	44	7.4	11111	28.3
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	1	20.0	4	80.0			5	27.8			5	27.8
	FEMALE			11	100			11	61.1			11	61.1
	TG			1	100			1	5.6			1	5.6
	UNKNOWN			1	100			1	5.6			1	5.6
	SUBTOTAL	1	5.6	17	94.4			18	0.0			18	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	32	0.9	3570	98.9	7	0.2	3609	52.0	62	62.6	3671	52.1
	FEMALE	26	0.8	3217	98.9	11	0.3	3254	46.9	32	32.3	3286	46.7
	TG	1	4.8	20	95.2			21	0.3	1	1.0	22	0.3
	UNKNOWN			57	100			57	0.8	4	4.0	61	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	59	0.9	6864	98.9	18	0.3	6941	18.0	99	16.7	7040	17.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	6	2.6	227	97.0	1	0.4	234	67.0	34	73.9	268	67.8
	FEMALE	2	1.9	103	98.1			105	30.1	11	23.9	116	29.4
	TG			3	100			3	0.9			3	0.8
	UNKNOWN			7	100			7	2.0	1	2.2	8	2.0
	SUBTOTAL	8	2.3	340	97.4	1	0.3	349	0.9	46	7.7	395	1.0
TOTAL BY TEST RESULT		530	1.4	38006	98.3	112	0.3	38648	100	594	100	39242	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
CDHS = California Department of Health Services
IDU = Injection Drug User
OA = Office of AIDS
TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 12. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND STD HISTORY		HAS/HAD STD (PAST TWO YEARS)						TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		YES		NO		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	5377	17.4	22753	73.5	2808	9.1	30938	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	1287	17.6	5264	72.0	764	10.4	7315	67.5
	FEMALE	967	27.5	2252	64.1	297	8.4	3516	32.5
IDU	MALE	3651	34.0	6165	57.4	928	8.6	10744	61.4
	FEMALE	2551	41.1	3136	50.5	523	8.4	6210	35.5
	TG	78	18.8	331	80.0	5	1.2	414	2.4
	UNKNOWN	43	35.0	69	56.1	11	8.9	123	0.7
GAY/IDU	MALE	639	37.0	936	54.2	153	8.9	1728	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	132	14.6	685	75.5	90	9.9	907	37.7
	FEMALE	285	20.4	945	67.7	166	11.9	1396	58.0
	TG	9	13.4	47	70.1	11	16.4	67	2.8
	UNKNOWN	9	25.0	18	50.0	9	25.0	36	1.5
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	444	26.2	1109	65.5	141	8.3	1694	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	886	19.7	3199	71.1	412	9.2	4497	55.0
	FEMALE	895	25.4	2248	63.8	379	10.8	3522	43.0
	TG	9	15.0	49	81.7	2	3.3	60	0.7
	UNKNOWN	18	17.3	68	65.4	18	17.3	104	1.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	171	18.8	645	71.0	93	10.2	909	30.4
	FEMALE	588	31.6	1089	58.6	181	9.7	1858	62.2
	TG	23	11.9	159	82.4	11	5.7	193	6.5
	UNKNOWN	9	32.1	16	57.1	3	10.7	28	0.9
TRANSFUSION	MALE	97	21.1	316	68.7	47	10.2	460	51.7
	FEMALE	73	17.4	314	74.9	32	7.6	419	47.1
	TG			2	100			2	0.2
	UNKNOWN	1	11.1	6	66.7	2	22.2	9	1.0
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	6248	14.2	33777	76.9	3914	8.9	43939	63.9
	FEMALE	4852	20.3	16741	70.2	2252	9.4	23845	34.7
	TG	45	13.1	259	75.5	39	11.4	343	0.5
	UNKNOWN	127	19.7	422	65.3	97	15.0	646	0.9
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	2	4.1	42	85.7	5	10.2	49	32.2
	FEMALE	11	10.9	86	85.1	4	4.0	101	66.4
	TG			1	100			1	0.7
	UNKNOWN			1	100			1	0.7

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 12. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND STD HISTORY		HAS/HAD STD (PAST TWO YEARS)						TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		YES		NO		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PARENT RISK	MALE			2	66.7	1	33.3	3	60.0
	FEMALE					2	100	2	40.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	1861	10.2	14487	79.3	1917	10.5	18265	45.7
	FEMALE	2737	12.9	15511	73.0	2986	14.1	21234	53.1
	TG	16	11.9	105	77.8	14	10.4	135	0.3
	UNKNOWN	43	12.6	247	72.2	52	15.2	342	0.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	98	3.8	663	25.9	1797	70.3	2558	62.5
	FEMALE	81	6.4	357	28.0	837	65.6	1275	31.2
	TG	1	4.5	13	59.1	8	36.4	22	0.5
	UNKNOWN	2	0.8	73	30.7	163	68.5	238	5.8
TOTAL BY STD HISTORY		34366	18.1	134608	70.8	21174	11.1	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
 TG = Transgender

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 13. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND STD/HEPATITIS DIAGNOSIS		Chlamydia				Gonorrhea				Hepatitis B				Hepatitis C			
		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	29779	96.3	1159	3.7	28801	93.1	2137	6.9	30383	98.2	555	1.8	30798	99.5	140	0.5
BISEXUAL	MALE	6992	95.6	323	4.4	6842	93.5	473	6.5	7220	98.7	95	1.3	7232	98.9	83	1.1
	FEMALE	3086	87.8	430	12.2	3317	94.3	199	5.7	3490	99.3	26	0.7	3452	98.2	64	1.8
IDU	MALE	10467	97.4	277	2.6	10358	96.4	386	3.6	10116	94.2	628	5.8	8082	75.2	2662	24.8
	FEMALE	5719	92.1	491	7.9	5906	95.1	304	4.9	5850	94.2	360	5.8	4655	75.0	1555	25.0
	TG	402	97.1	12	2.9	404	97.6	10	2.4	396	95.7	18	4.3	374	90.3	40	9.7
	UNKNOWN	121	98.4	2	1.6	117	95.1	6	4.9	121	98.4	2	1.6	89	72.4	34	27.6
GAY/IDU	MALE	1653	95.7	75	4.3	1587	91.8	141	8.2	1608	93.1	120	6.9	1391	80.5	337	19.5
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	872	96.1	35	3.9	879	96.9	28	3.1	893	98.5	14	1.5	870	95.9	37	4.1
	FEMALE	1307	93.6	89	6.4	1332	95.4	64	4.6	1374	98.4	22	1.6	1355	97.1	41	2.9
	TG	67	100			65	97.0	2	3.0	66	98.5	1	1.5	65	97.0	2	3.0
	UNKNOWN	34	94.4	2	5.6	32	88.9	4	11.1	35	97.2	1	2.8	34	94.4	2	5.6
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1483	87.5	211	12.5	1614	95.3	80	4.7	1678	99.1	16	0.9	1669	98.5	25	1.5
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	4267	94.9	230	5.1	4238	94.2	259	5.8	4430	98.5	67	1.5	4320	96.1	177	3.9
	FEMALE	3125	88.7	397	11.3	3368	95.6	154	4.4	3471	98.6	51	1.4	3410	96.8	112	3.2
	TG	57	95.0	3	5.0	57	95.0	3	5.0	59	98.3	1	1.7	59	98.3	1	1.7
	UNKNOWN	100	96.2	4	3.8	97	93.3	7	6.7	103	99.0	1	1.0	102	98.1	2	1.9
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	854	93.9	55	6.1	842	92.6	67	7.4	901	99.1	8	0.9	890	97.9	19	2.1
	FEMALE	1635	88.0	223	12.0	1691	91.0	167	9.0	1838	98.9	20	1.1	1799	96.8	59	3.2
	TG	190	98.4	3	1.6	180	93.3	13	6.7	190	98.4	3	1.6	190	98.4	3	1.6
	UNKNOWN	23	82.1	5	17.9	25	89.3	3	10.7	28	100			26	92.9	2	7.1
TRANSFUSION	MALE	446	97.0	14	3.0	438	95.2	22	4.8	446	97.0	14	3.0	429	93.3	31	6.7
	FEMALE	403	96.2	16	3.8	407	97.1	12	2.9	412	98.3	7	1.7	405	96.7	14	3.3
	TG	2	100			2	100			2	100			2	100		
	UNKNOWN	9	100			9	100			9	100			9	100		
HETEROSEXUAL-MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	41394	94.2	2545	5.8	42341	96.4	1598	3.6	43741	99.5	198	0.5	43590	99.2	349	0.8
	FEMALE	21413	89.8	2432	10.2	23140	97.0	705	3.0	23762	99.7	83	0.3	23734	99.5	111	0.5
	TG	332	96.8	11	3.2	327	95.3	16	4.7	337	98.3	6	1.7	339	98.8	4	1.2
	UNKNOWN	585	90.6	61	9.4	613	94.9	33	5.1	646	100			642	99.4	4	0.6
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	47	95.9	2	4.1	48	98.0	1	2.0	49	100			49	100		
	FEMALE	99	98.0	2	2.0	99	98.0	2	2.0	100	99.0	1	1.0	100	99.0	1	1.0
	TG	1	100			1	100			1	100			1	100		
	UNKNOWN	1	100			1	100			1	100			1	100		
PARENT RISK	MALE	3	100			3	100			3	100			3	100		
	FEMALE	2	100			2	100			2	100			2	100		
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	17809	97.5	456	2.5	17870	97.8	395	2.2	18124	99.2	141	0.8	17909	98.1	356	1.9
	FEMALE	20065	94.5	1169	5.5	20853	98.2	381	1.8	21149	99.6	85	0.4	21032	99.0	202	1.0
	TG	131	97.0	4	3.0	131	97.0	4	3.0	133	98.5	2	1.5	132	97.8	3	2.2
	UNKNOWN	333	97.4	9	2.6	327	95.6	15	4.4	340	99.4	2	0.6	339	99.1	3	0.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	2532	99.0	26	1.0	2540	99.3	18	0.7	2546	99.5	12	0.5	2528	98.8	30	1.2
	FEMALE	1248	97.9	27	2.1	1267	99.4	8	0.6	1271	99.7	4	0.3	1269	99.5	6	0.5
	TG	22	100			22	100			22	100			22	100		
	UNKNOWN	238	100			236	99.2	2	0.8	238	100			238	100		
TOTAL BY DIAGNOSIS		179348	94.3	10800	5.7	182429	95.9	7719	4.1	187584	98.7	2564	1.3	183637	96.6	6511	3.4

(Continued)

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 13. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND STD/HEPATITIS DIAGNOSIS		Genital/Anal Warts				Genital Herpes				Syphilis				Trichomoniasis			
		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	30242	97.8	696	2.2	30425	98.3	513	1.7	30411	98.3	527	1.7	30896	99.9	42	0.1
BISEXUAL	MALE	7167	98.0	148	2.0	7139	97.6	176	2.4	7163	97.9	152	2.1	7297	99.8	18	0.2
	FEMALE	3367	95.8	149	4.2	3380	96.1	136	3.9	3450	98.1	66	1.9	3363	95.6	153	4.4
IDU	MALE	10603	98.7	141	1.3	10557	98.3	187	1.7	10638	99.0	106	1.0	10728	99.9	16	0.1
	FEMALE	6058	97.6	152	2.4	6013	96.8	197	3.2	6108	98.4	102	1.6	6014	96.8	196	3.2
	TG	408	98.6	6	1.4	405	97.8	9	2.2	407	98.3	7	1.7	414	100		
	UNKNOWN	123	100			120	97.6	3	2.4	122	99.2	1	0.8	122	99.2	1	0.8
GAY/IDU	MALE	1687	97.6	41	2.4	1680	97.2	48	2.8	1660	96.1	68	3.9	1716	99.3	12	0.7
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	896	98.8	11	1.2	895	98.7	12	1.3	894	98.6	13	1.4	906	99.9	1	0.1
	FEMALE	1355	97.1	41	2.9	1358	97.3	38	2.7	1378	98.7	18	1.3	1357	97.2	39	2.8
	TG	66	98.5	1	1.5	65	97.0	2	3.0	65	97.0	2	3.0	67	100		
	UNKNOWN	35	97.2	1	2.8	35	97.2	1	2.8	35	97.2	1	2.8	36	100		
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1622	95.7	72	4.3	1613	95.2	81	4.8	1668	98.5	26	1.5	1633	96.4	61	3.6
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	4398	97.8	99	2.2	4394	97.7	103	2.3	4430	98.5	67	1.5	4476	99.5	21	0.5
	FEMALE	3394	96.4	128	3.6	3401	96.6	121	3.4	3486	99.0	36	1.0	3446	97.8	76	2.2
	TG	60	100			58	96.7	2	3.3	60	100			60	100		
	UNKNOWN	103	99.0	1	1.0	103	99.0	1	1.0	100	96.2	4	3.8	100	96.2	4	3.8
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	901	99.1	8	0.9	892	98.1	17	1.9	891	98.0	18	2.0	904	99.4	5	0.6
	FEMALE	1818	97.8	40	2.2	1806	97.2	52	2.8	1787	96.2	71	3.8	1728	93.0	130	7.0
	TG	193	100			192	99.5	1	0.5	188	97.4	5	2.6	192	99.5	1	0.5
	UNKNOWN	25	89.3	3	10.7	27	96.4	1	3.6	28	100			28	100		
TRANSFUSION	MALE	450	97.8	10	2.2	444	96.5	16	3.5	456	99.1	4	0.9	458	99.6	2	0.4
	FEMALE	412	98.3	7	1.7	410	97.9	9	2.1	415	99.0	4	1.0	411	98.1	8	1.9
	TG	2	100			2	100			2	100			2	100		
	UNKNOWN	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100			9	100		
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	43120	98.1	819	1.9	43192	98.3	747	1.7	43607	99.2	332	0.8	43815	99.7	124	0.3
	FEMALE	22983	96.4	862	3.6	23146	97.1	699	2.9	23692	99.4	153	0.6	23412	98.2	433	1.8
	TG	339	98.8	4	1.2	340	99.1	3	0.9	338	98.5	5	1.5	343	100		
	UNKNOWN	629	97.4	17	2.6	630	97.5	16	2.5	644	99.7	2	0.3	637	98.6	9	1.4
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	49	100			49	100			49	100			48	98.0	1	2.0
	FEMALE	99	98.0	2	2.0	100	99.0	1	1.0	100	99.0	1	1.0	101	100		
	TG	1	100			1	100			1	100			1	100		
	UNKNOWN	1	100			1	100			1	100			1	100		
PARENT RISK	MALE	3	100			3	100			3	100			3	100		
	FEMALE	2	100			2	100			2	100			2	100		
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	18029	98.7	236	1.3	18023	98.7	242	1.3	18147	99.4	118	0.6	18240	99.9	25	0.1
	FEMALE	20872	98.3	362	1.7	20866	98.3	368	1.7	21076	99.3	158	0.7	20988	98.8	246	1.2
	TG	132	97.8	3	2.2	135	100			135	100			135	100		
	UNKNOWN	338	98.8	4	1.2	336	98.2	6	1.8	338	98.8	4	1.2	338	98.8	4	1.2
UNKNOWN	MALE	2548	99.6	10	0.4	2551	99.7	7	0.3	2553	99.8	5	0.2	2556	99.9	2	0.1
	FEMALE	1263	99.1	12	0.9	1258	98.7	17	1.3	1273	99.8	2	0.2	1264	99.1	11	0.9
	TG	22	100			21	95.5	1	4.5	22	100			22	100		
	UNKNOWN	238	100			238	100			238	100			238	100		
TOTAL BY DIAGNOSIS		186061	97.9	4087	2.1	186314	98.0	3834	2.0	188070	98.9	2078	1.1	188507	99.1	1641	0.9

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgender

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 14. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND NUMBER OF PARTNERS		NUMBER OF PARTNERS IN PAST TWO YEARS																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5		6 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	98	0.3	4818	15.6	3922	12.7	3619	11.7	2573	8.3	2474	8.0	13013	42.1	421	1.4	30938	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	27	0.4	274	3.7	980	13.4	1054	14.4	849	11.6	713	9.7	3323	45.4	95	1.3	7315	67.5
	FEMALE	5	0.1	195	5.5	570	16.2	584	16.6	444	12.6	331	9.4	1344	38.2	43	1.2	3516	32.5
IDU	MALE	1110	10.3	3035	28.2	1635	15.2	1258	11.7	772	7.2	567	5.3	2198	20.5	169	1.6	10744	61.4
	FEMALE	291	4.7	1648	26.5	1060	17.1	732	11.8	435	7.0	358	5.8	1600	25.8	86	1.4	6210	35.5
	TG	9	2.2	27	6.5	13	3.1	8	1.9	12	2.9	7	1.7	334	80.7	4	1.0	414	2.4
	UNKNOWN	12	9.8	31	25.2	12	9.8	14	11.4	6	4.9	8	6.5	35	28.5	5	4.1	123	0.7
GAY/IDU	MALE	14	0.8	199	11.5	172	10.0	141	8.2	134	7.8	117	6.8	907	52.5	44	2.5	1728	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	6	0.7	307	33.8	146	16.1	130	14.3	54	6.0	45	5.0	209	23.0	10	1.1	907	37.7
	FEMALE	14	1.0	666	47.7	287	20.6	127	9.1	68	4.9	39	2.8	186	13.3	9	0.6	1396	58.0
	TG			9	13.4	4	6.0	4	6.0	4	6.0	2	3.0	44	65.7			67	2.8
	UNKNOWN			14	38.9	5	13.9	2	5.6			3	8.3	12	33.3			36	1.5
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	6	0.4	365	21.5	338	20.0	276	16.3	155	9.1	130	7.7	415	24.5	9	0.5	1694	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	15	0.3	548	12.2	689	15.3	706	15.7	474	10.5	370	8.2	1662	37.0	33	0.7	4497	55.0
	FEMALE	12	0.3	931	26.4	842	23.9	528	15.0	338	9.6	216	6.1	637	18.1	18	0.5	3522	43.0
	TG			2	3.3	6	10.0	7	11.7	2	3.3	2	3.3	40	66.7	1	1.7	60	0.7
	UNKNOWN	1	1.0	13	12.5	17	16.3	13	12.5	11	10.6	11	10.6	37	35.6	1	1.0	104	1.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	17	1.9	97	10.7	119	13.1	126	13.9	70	7.7	84	9.2	382	42.0	14	1.5	909	30.4
	FEMALE	23	1.2	259	13.9	257	13.8	208	11.2	143	7.7	121	6.5	784	42.2	63	3.4	1858	62.2
	TG	2	1.0	9	4.7	10	5.2	3	1.6	7	3.6	6	3.1	150	77.7	6	3.1	193	6.5
	UNKNOWN			3	10.7	1	3.6	5	17.9	2	7.1	2	7.1	15	53.6			28	0.9
TRANSFUSION	MALE	34	7.4	111	24.1	101	22.0	67	14.6	41	8.9	25	5.4	77	16.7	4	0.9	460	51.7
	FEMALE	41	9.8	208	49.6	89	21.2	43	10.3	20	4.8	5	1.2	8	1.9	5	1.2	419	47.1
	TG					1	50.0	1	50.0									2	0.2
	UNKNOWN			2	22.2	4	44.4							3	33.3			9	1.0
HETEROSEXUAL- /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE					13125	29.9	9229	21.0	5581	12.7	4212	9.6	11520	26.2	272	0.6	43939	63.9
	FEMALE					10799	45.3	5432	22.8	2772	11.6	1761	7.4	2910	12.2	171	0.7	23845	34.7
	TG					87	25.4	47	13.7	35	10.2	28	8.2	143	41.7	3	0.9	343	0.5
	UNKNOWN					220	34.1	138	21.4	70	10.8	50	7.7	156	24.1	12	1.9	646	0.9
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	9	18.4	39	79.6											1	2.0	49	32.2
	FEMALE	17	16.8	82	81.2											2	2.0	101	66.4
	TG			1	100													1	0.7
	UNKNOWN			1	100													1	0.7
PARENT RISK	MALE	2	66.7													1	33.3	3	60.0
	FEMALE	1	50.0													1	50.0	2	40.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	3569	19.5	14696	80.5													18265	45.7
	FEMALE	2105	9.9	19129	90.1													21234	53.1
	TG	18	13.3	117	86.7													135	0.3
	UNKNOWN	70	20.5	272	79.5													342	0.9
UNKNOWN	MALE															2558	100	2558	62.5
	FEMALE															1275	100	1275	31.2
	TG															22	100	22	0.5
	UNKNOWN															238	100	238	5.8
TOTAL BY NUMBER OF PARTNERS		7528	4.0	48108	25.3	35511	18.7	24502	12.9	15072	7.9	11687	6.1	42144	22.2	5596	2.9	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	2912	9.4	4513	14.6	2969	9.6	3023	9.8	2611	8.4	14176	45.8	734	2.4	30938	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	1922	26.3	1735	23.7	874	11.9	680	9.3	477	6.5	1434	19.6	193	2.6	7315	67.5
	FEMALE	844	24.0	940	26.7	477	13.6	374	10.6	220	6.3	613	17.4	48	1.4	3516	32.5
IDU	MALE	2171	20.2	2700	25.1	1490	13.9	1121	10.4	783	7.3	2343	21.8	136	1.3	10744	61.4
	FEMALE	835	13.4	1510	24.3	841	13.5	683	11.0	545	8.8	1733	27.9	63	1.0	6210	35.5
	TG	162	39.1	52	12.6	49	11.8	25	6.0	30	7.2	94	22.7	2	0.5	414	2.4
	UNKNOWN	18	14.6	26	21.1	15	12.2	5	4.1	13	10.6	38	30.9	8	6.5	123	0.7
GAY/ IDU	MALE	184	10.6	312	18.1	200	11.6	183	10.6	148	8.6	660	38.2	41	2.4	1728	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	221	24.4	228	25.1	112	12.3	91	10.0	60	6.6	174	19.2	21	2.3	907	37.7
	FEMALE	258	18.5	352	25.2	188	13.5	150	10.7	118	8.5	302	21.6	28	2.0	1396	58.0
	TG	2	3.0	10	14.9	8	11.9	8	11.9	8	11.9	30	44.8	1	1.5	67	2.8
	UNKNOWN	4	11.1	4	11.1	4	11.1	5	13.9	3	8.3	15	41.7	1	2.8	36	1.5
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	458	27.0	518	30.6	220	13.0	169	10.0	84	5.0	221	13.0	24	1.4	1694	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	1574	35.0	1202	26.7	596	13.3	371	8.2	249	5.5	451	10.0	54	1.2	4497	55.0
	FEMALE	1012	28.7	1051	29.8	486	13.8	346	9.8	175	5.0	409	11.6	43	1.2	3522	43.0
	TG	11	18.3	10	16.7	8	13.3	7	11.7	5	8.3	19	31.7			60	0.7
	UNKNOWN	29	27.9	38	36.5	8	7.7	6	5.8	4	3.8	11	10.6	8	7.7	104	1.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	250	27.5	235	25.9	124	13.6	99	10.9	40	4.4	145	16.0	16	1.8	909	30.4
	FEMALE	339	18.2	383	20.6	237	12.8	196	10.5	160	8.6	512	27.6	31	1.7	1858	62.2
	TG	22	11.4	29	15.0	14	7.3	23	11.9	17	8.8	85	44.0	3	1.6	193	6.5
	UNKNOWN	4	14.3	11	39.3	2	7.1			2	7.1	7	25.0	2	7.1	28	0.9
TRANSFUSION	MALE	166	36.1	120	26.1	61	13.3	36	7.8	21	4.6	49	10.7	7	1.5	460	51.7
	FEMALE	147	35.1	125	29.8	48	11.5	26	6.2	18	4.3	49	11.7	6	1.4	419	47.1
	TG	1	50.0	1	50.0											2	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	22.2	1	11.1	2	22.2					3	33.3	1	11.1	9	1.0
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	16780	38.2	12158	27.7	5012	11.4	3235	7.4	1946	4.4	3927	8.9	881	2.0	43939	63.9
	FEMALE	8089	33.9	6744	28.3	3093	13.0	2040	8.6	1149	4.8	2230	9.4	500	2.1	23845	34.7
	TG	66	19.2	69	20.1	45	13.1	24	7.0	27	7.9	109	31.8	3	0.9	343	0.5
	UNKNOWN	228	35.3	163	25.2	67	10.4	39	6.0	25	3.9	80	12.4	44	6.8	646	0.9
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	15	30.6	15	30.6	6	12.2	5	10.2	3	6.1	5	10.2			49	32.2
	FEMALE	27	26.7	24	23.8	13	12.9	10	9.9	5	5.0	18	17.8	4	4.0	101	66.4
	TG	1	100													1	0.7
	UNKNOWN					1	100									1	0.7
PARENT RISK	MALE	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3									3	60.0
	FEMALE	1	50.0			1	50.0									2	40.0

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	7076	38.7	5197	28.5	1968	10.8	1236	6.8	681	3.7	1739	9.5	368	2.0	18265	45.7
	FEMALE	8277	39.0	6197	29.2	2371	11.2	1460	6.9	847	4.0	1662	7.8	420	2.0	21234	53.1
	TG	38	28.1	23	17.0	8	5.9	19	14.1	8	5.9	35	25.9	4	3.0	135	0.3
	UNKNOWN	133	38.9	88	25.7	41	12.0	17	5.0	8	2.3	29	8.5	26	7.6	342	0.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	490	19.2	554	21.7	273	10.7	203	7.9	108	4.2	452	17.7	478	18.7	2558	62.5
	FEMALE	271	21.3	351	27.5	134	10.5	113	8.9	50	3.9	102	8.0	254	19.9	1275	31.2
	TG	3	13.6	5	22.7	2	9.1	2	9.1	2	9.1	5	22.7	3	13.6	22	0.5
	UNKNOWN	9	3.8	19	8.0	3	1.3	5	2.1	4	1.7	10	4.2	188	79.0	238	5.8
TOTAL BY NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		55053	29.0	47714	25.1	22072	11.6	16035	8.4	10654	5.6	33976	17.9	4644	2.4	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

CDHS = California Department of Health Services

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/AGENCY												
ATS PROGRAM	ALAMEDA	43	1.6	2582	98.2	5	0.2	2630	5.6	2	0.0	2632	5.1
	ALPINE			7	100			7	0.0			7	0.0
	BUTTE			98	100			98	0.2	4	0.1	102	0.2
	CONTRA COSTA	5	2.0	241	97.6	1	0.4	247	0.5			247	0.5
	FRESNO	11	1.4	792	98.6			803	1.7			803	1.6
	HUMBOLDT	1	0.2	663	99.8			664	1.4			664	1.3
	IMPERIAL			70	98.6	1	1.4	71	0.2			71	0.1
	INYO			33	100			33	0.1	3	0.1	36	0.1
	KERN			35	100			35	0.1			35	0.1
	KINGS			1	100			1	0.0			1	0.0
	LOS ANGELES	175	2.5	6772	97.4	7	0.1	6954	14.9	39	0.9	6993	13.7
	MARIN	1	0.2	537	99.8			538	1.2	5	0.1	543	1.1
	MENDOCINO			306	100			306	0.7			306	0.6
	MERCED			81	100			81	0.2			81	0.2
	MONTEREY			67	97.1	2	2.9	69	0.1			69	0.1
	NAPA	3	2.1	139	97.9			142	0.3			142	0.3
	NEVADA			8	100			8	0.0			8	0.0
	ORANGE	29	1.8	1599	98.1	2	0.1	1630	3.5	40	0.9	1670	3.3
	PLACER	3	1.2	251	98.8			254	0.5			254	0.5
	RIVERSIDE	69	3.3	1989	94.9	37	1.8	2095	4.5	3	0.1	2098	4.1
	SACRAMENTO	8	1.3	623	98.6	1	0.2	632	1.4	4	0.1	636	1.2
	SAN BERNARDINO	23	1.7	1352	98.3	1	0.1	1376	2.9	18	0.4	1394	2.7
	SAN DIEGO	113	2.5	4379	97.4	5	0.1	4497	9.6	79	1.8	4576	9.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	132	2.2	5872	97.6	11	0.2	6015	12.9	3947	89.5	9962	19.5
	SAN JOAQUIN			167	99.4	1	0.6	168	0.4	7	0.2	175	0.3
	SAN LUIS OBISPO			537	99.8	1	0.2	538	1.2	2	0.0	540	1.1
	SAN MATEO			11	100			11	0.0			11	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	8	0.3	2415	99.4	6	0.2	2429	5.2	116	2.6	2545	5.0
	SANTA CLARA	15	0.6	2430	99.4			2445	5.2	41	0.9	2486	4.9
	SANTA CRUZ	1	0.1	1009	99.9			1010	2.2	1	0.0	1011	2.0
	SHASTA	1	0.3	366	99.7			367	0.8	3	0.1	370	0.7
	SOLANO	3	0.9	324	98.8	1	0.3	328	0.7	4	0.1	332	0.6
	SONOMA	10	0.4	2242	99.6			2252	4.8	5	0.1	2257	4.4
	STANISLAUS	2	0.3	641	98.9	5	0.8	648	1.4	3	0.1	651	1.3

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/AGENCY												
ATS PROGRAM	TULARE	7	2.2	301	96.5	4	1.3	312	0.7	1	0.0	313	0.6
	TUOLUMNE			150	100			150	0.3			150	0.3
	VENTURA	2	0.6	334	98.8	2	0.6	338	0.7			338	0.7
	YOLO			218	99.5	1	0.5	219	0.5	5	0.1	224	0.4
	LONG BEACH	63	3.0	2044	96.9	3	0.1	2110	4.5	9	0.2	2119	4.1
	PASADENA	8	1.2	679	98.8			687	1.5	1	0.0	688	1.3
	BERKELEY	28	0.8	3487	99.2			3515	7.5	70	1.6	3585	7.0
	SUBTOTAL	764	1.6	45852	98.2	97	0.2	46713	25.9	4412	45.8	51125	26.9
NON-ATS LHD	LHD/AGENCY												
	ALAMEDA	109	2.5	4304	97.3	12	0.3	4425	3.3	3	0.1	4428	3.2
	ALPINE			6	100			6	0.0			6	0.0
	AMADOR	2	2.5	71	88.8	7	8.8	80	0.1			80	0.1
	BUTTE	4	0.3	1229	99.7			1233	0.9	102	2.1	1335	1.0
	CALAVERAS			91	97.8	2	2.2	93	0.1			93	0.1
	CONTRA COSTA	7	0.4	1857	99.6			1864	1.4	34	0.7	1898	1.4
	DEL NORTE			30	100			30	0.0			30	0.0
	EL DORADO	2	0.4	476	99.2	2	0.4	480	0.4	1	0.0	481	0.4
	FRESNO	43	1.6	2661	98.4	1	0.0	2705	2.0	11	0.2	2716	2.0
	GLENN			98	100			98	0.1			98	0.1
	HUMBOLDT	1	0.1	811	99.8	1	0.1	813	0.6			813	0.6
	IMPERIAL	6	0.6	1010	98.3	11	1.1	1027	0.8			1027	0.7
	INYO			13	100			13	0.0			13	0.0
	KERN	46	1.1	4328	98.9			4374	3.3	1	0.0	4375	3.2
	KINGS	4	1.4	286	98.3	1	0.3	291	0.2	1	0.0	292	0.2
	LAKE	1	0.5	199	99.5			200	0.2			200	0.1
	LASSEN			168	99.4	1	0.6	169	0.1	1	0.0	170	0.1
	LOS ANGELES	800	1.9	40053	97.5	222	0.5	41075	31.0	1690	34.0	42765	31.2
	MADERA	2	0.7	288	99.3			290	0.2	2	0.0	292	0.2
	MARIN	6	0.3	2144	99.7			2150	1.6	19	0.4	2169	1.6
	MARIPOSA			64	98.5	1	1.5	65	0.0			65	0.0
	MENDOCINO	4	0.4	885	99.6			889	0.7	1	0.0	890	0.6
	MERCED	2	0.5	382	99.2	1	0.3	385	0.3			385	0.3
	MODOC			4	100			4	0.0			4	0.0
	MONTEREY	1	0.2	412	99.5	1	0.2	414	0.3			414	0.3
	NEVADA	4	0.5	867	99.4	1	0.1	872	0.7	3	0.1	875	0.6

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/AGENCY												
NON-ATS LHD	ORANGE	63	0.7	8386	99.2	6	0.1	8455	6.4	102	2.1	8557	6.2
	PLACER	2	0.5	378	99.5			380	0.3			380	0.3
	PLUMAS			98	100			98	0.1			98	0.1
	RIVERSIDE	66	2.5	2606	97.0	14	0.5	2686	2.0	3	0.1	2689	2.0
	SACRAMENTO	41	0.8	5022	99.1	7	0.1	5070	3.8	9	0.2	5079	3.7
	SAN BERNARDINO	33	0.8	4172	99.2	1	0.0	4206	3.2	47	0.9	4253	3.1
	SAN DIEGO	123	1.5	8260	98.5	4	0.0	8387	6.3	1356	27.3	9743	7.1
	SAN FRANCISCO	603	4.2	13743	95.7	15	0.1	14361	10.9	119	2.4	14480	10.5
	SAN JOAQUIN	23	1.1	2069	97.7	25	1.2	2117	1.6	398	8.0	2515	1.8
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	0.2	1706	99.4	8	0.5	1717	1.3	4	0.1	1721	1.3
	SAN MATEO	25	0.7	3381	99.0	8	0.2	3414	2.6	2	0.0	3416	2.5
	SANTA BARBARA	2	0.4	525	98.9	4	0.8	531	0.4	72	1.4	603	0.4
	SANTA CLARA	18	1.2	1490	98.7	1	0.1	1509	1.1	6	0.1	1515	1.1
	SANTA CRUZ	2	0.3	739	99.7			741	0.6			741	0.5
	SHASTA			747	100			747	0.6	7	0.1	754	0.5
	SIERRA			8	100			8	0.0			8	0.0
	SISKIYOU			267	100			267	0.2	60	1.2	327	0.2
	SOLANO	5	2.0	245	97.6	1	0.4	251	0.2			251	0.2
	SONOMA	6	0.2	2661	99.7	2	0.1	2669	2.0	2	0.0	2671	1.9
	STANISLAUS	10	1.0	973	98.4	6	0.6	989	0.7	3	0.1	992	0.7
	SUTTER	2	0.7	299	99.3			301	0.2	2	0.0	303	0.2
	TEHAMA			108	100			108	0.1			108	0.1
	TRINITY			15	100			15	0.0			15	0.0
	TULARE	20	2.5	774	96.8	6	0.8	800	0.6	11	0.2	811	0.6
	TUOLUMNE			11	100			11	0.0			11	0.0
	VENTURA	2	0.3	635	99.4	2	0.3	639	0.5			639	0.5
	YOLO			494	100			494	0.4			494	0.4
	YUBA	2	0.5	422	98.6	4	0.9	428	0.3	1	0.0	429	0.3
	LONG BEACH	44	1.6	2661	98.4			2705	2.0	11	0.2	2716	2.0
	PASADENA	1	0.3	377	99.7			378	0.3	3	0.1	381	0.3
	BERKELEY	24	1.0	2277	99.0			2301	1.7	886	17.8	3187	2.3
	CSU LONG BEACH	19	1.3	1444	98.4	4	0.3	1467	1.1	1	0.0	1468	1.1
	SUBTOTAL		2183	1.7	129730	98.1	382	0.3	132295	73.3	4974	51.6	137269

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/AGENCY												
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	SAN BENITO HF			476	100			476	47.1			476	37.7
	DM CAMARENA HC	1	0.3	297	99.7			298	29.5	251	100	549	43.5
	SALUD LA GENTE			236	100			236	23.4			236	18.7
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.1	1009	99.9			1010	0.6	251	2.6	1261	0.7
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	LHD/AGENCY												
	URBAN INDIAN HB	2	0.5	374	99.5			376	76.7			376	76.3
	AMERICAN INDIAN H&S			7	100			7	1.4			7	1.4
	CHAPA-DE IHC			15	100			15	3.1			15	3.0
	SONOMA IHP			73	100			73	14.9	3	100	76	15.4
	SOUTHERN IHC			19	100			19	3.9			19	3.9
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.4	488	99.6			490	0.3	3	0.0	493	0.3
TOTAL BY RESULT		2950	1.6	177079	98.1	479	0.3	180508	100	9640	100	190148	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

ATS = Alternative Test Site

CDHS = California Department of Health Services

DM = Darin M.

HB = Health Board

HC = Health Center

HF = Health Foundation

H&S = Health and Services

IHC = Indian Health Center

IHP = Indian Health Program

LHD = Local Health Department

OA = Office of AIDS

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 17. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY		RACE/ETHNICITY														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	1975	6.9	176	0.6	2596	9.0	8158	28.3	14823	51.4	853	3.0	249	0.9	28830	100
	SUBTOTAL	1975	6.9	176	0.6	2596	9.0	8158	28.3	14823	51.4	853	3.0	249	0.9	28830	16.0
BISEXUAL	MALE	1117	15.7	55	0.8	350	4.9	2766	38.8	2519	35.4	245	3.4	70	1.0	7122	67.6
	FEMALE	830	24.3	86	2.5	138	4.0	686	20.1	1517	44.4	113	3.3	46	1.3	3416	32.4
	SUBTOTAL	1947	18.5	141	1.3	488	4.6	3452	32.8	4036	38.3	358	3.4	116	1.1	10538	5.8
IDU	MALE	1797	17.0	266	2.5	133	1.3	2841	26.9	5175	49.0	236	2.2	114	1.1	10562	61.3
	FEMALE	888	14.5	289	4.7	81	1.3	1374	22.4	3321	54.2	115	1.9	63	1.0	6131	35.6
	TG	42	10.2	10	2.4	6	1.5	294	71.5	55	13.4	2	0.5	2	0.5	411	2.4
	UNKNOWN	19	16.0	4	3.4	3	2.5	26	21.8	45	37.8	4	3.4	18	15.1	119	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	2746	15.9	569	3.3	223	1.3	4535	26.3	8596	49.9	357	2.1	197	1.1	17223	9.5
GAY / IDU	MALE	263	15.4	36	2.1	38	2.2	389	22.7	936	54.7	38	2.2	12	0.7	1712	100
	SUBTOTAL	263	15.4	36	2.1	38	2.2	389	22.7	936	54.7	38	2.2	12	0.7	1712	0.9
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	233	26.1	8	0.9	31	3.5	260	29.1	326	36.5	22	2.5	14	1.6	894	37.7
	FEMALE	257	18.7	31	2.3	47	3.4	447	32.5	546	39.7	29	2.1	17	1.2	1374	58.0
	TG	14	20.9	3	4.5			32	47.8	14	20.9	3	4.5	1	1.5	67	2.8
	UNKNOWN	8	22.2			1	2.8	4	11.1	18	50.0	2	5.6	3	8.3	36	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	512	21.6	42	1.8	79	3.3	743	31.3	904	38.1	56	2.4	35	1.5	2371	1.3
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	272	16.2	40	2.4	67	4.0	486	28.9	750	44.5	45	2.7	24	1.4	1684	100
	SUBTOTAL	272	16.2	40	2.4	67	4.0	486	28.9	750	44.5	45	2.7	24	1.4	1684	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	929	20.8	71	1.6	77	1.7	1377	30.8	1857	41.6	90	2.0	68	1.5	4469	55.0
	FEMALE	492	14.1	132	3.8	90	2.6	957	27.4	1711	48.9	70	2.0	47	1.3	3499	43.0
	TG	3	5.0	2	3.3	2	3.3	45	75.0	8	13.3					60	0.7
	UNKNOWN	12	11.8	2	2.0	1	1.0	26	25.5	38	37.3	1	1.0	22	21.6	102	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	1436	17.7	207	2.5	170	2.1	2405	29.6	3614	44.5	161	2.0	137	1.7	8130	4.5
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	398	44.5	15	1.7	9	1.0	256	28.6	183	20.5	25	2.8	8	0.9	894	30.4
	FEMALE	1019	55.5	38	2.1	98	5.3	252	13.7	384	20.9	27	1.5	17	0.9	1835	62.4
	TG	31	16.7	2	1.1	15	8.1	119	64.0	15	8.1	4	2.2			186	6.3
	UNKNOWN	8	28.6	1	3.6			13	46.4	3	10.7	1	3.6	2	7.1	28	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	1456	49.5	56	1.9	122	4.1	640	21.7	585	19.9	57	1.9	27	0.9	2943	1.6
TRANSFUSION	MALE	83	18.2	10	2.2	10	2.2	118	25.8	215	47.0	15	3.3	6	1.3	457	51.6
	FEMALE	84	20.1	8	1.9	13	3.1	139	33.3	158	37.9	10	2.4	5	1.2	417	47.1
	TG							1	50.0	1	50.0					2	0.2
	UNKNOWN	1	11.1					3	33.3	3	33.3	1	11.1	1	11.1	9	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	168	19.0	18	2.0	23	2.6	261	29.5	377	42.6	26	2.9	12	1.4	885	0.5

(Continued)

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 17. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY		RACE/ETHNICITY														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	8900	21.1	391	0.9	1948	4.6	14105	33.4	14967	35.5	1324	3.1	550	1.3	42185	63.9
	FEMALE	4364	19.1	333	1.5	1787	7.8	6022	26.4	9347	40.9	670	2.9	328	1.4	22851	34.6
	TG	25	7.6	5	1.5	17	5.2	181	54.8	95	28.8	6	1.8	1	0.3	330	0.5
	UNKNOWN	88	14.1	6	1.0	29	4.7	156	25.0	208	33.4	18	2.9	118	18.9	623	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	13377	20.3	735	1.1	3781	5.7	20464	31.0	24617	37.3	2018	3.1	997	1.5	65989	36.6
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	4	8.2	1	2.0	3	6.1	16	32.7	22	44.9			3	6.1	49	32.2
	FEMALE	13	12.9	2	2.0	7	6.9	42	41.6	35	34.7	2	2.0			101	66.4
	TG							1	100							1	0.7
	UNKNOWN											1	100			1	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	17	11.2	3	2.0	10	6.6	59	38.8	57	37.5	3	2.0	3	2.0	152	0.1
PARENT RISK	MALE	1	33.3					2	66.7							3	60.0
	FEMALE	1	50.0					1	50.0							2	40.0
	SUBTOTAL	2	40.0					3	60.0							5	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	3089	17.8	211	1.2	1074	6.2	6071	35.0	6124	35.3	566	3.3	234	1.3	17369	45.8
	FEMALE	3778	18.8	267	1.3	1455	7.2	7996	39.8	5756	28.7	566	2.8	267	1.3	20085	53.0
	TG	10	7.7	1	0.8	7	5.4	76	58.5	34	26.2	2	1.5			130	0.3
	UNKNOWN	36	11.7	6	1.9	15	4.9	83	26.9	97	31.4	18	5.8	54	17.5	309	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	6913	18.2	485	1.3	2551	6.7	14226	37.5	12011	31.7	1152	3.0	555	1.5	37893	21.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	229	18.5	12	1.0	56	4.5	276	22.3	572	46.3	48	3.9	43	3.5	1236	57.4
	FEMALE	144	18.4	14	1.8	58	7.4	191	24.4	300	38.3	32	4.1	45	5.7	784	36.4
	TG	1	5.6			2	11.1	8	44.4	5	27.8	1	5.6	1	5.6	18	0.8
	UNKNOWN	4	3.5			2	1.7	11	9.6	13	11.3	17	14.8	68	59.1	115	5.3
	SUBTOTAL	378	17.6	26	1.2	118	5.5	486	22.6	890	41.3	98	4.6	157	7.3	2153	1.2
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY		31462	17.4	2534	1.4	10266	5.7	56307	31.2	72196	40.0	5222	2.9	2521	1.4	180508	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

C&T = Counseling and Testing

CDHS = California Department of Health Services

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgender

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report, January – December 2003

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY DRUG USE, GENDER, AND TEST RESULT	MALE						FEMALE						TG						TOTAL BY DRUG USE	
	POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
Alcohol																				
----used	1313	1.9	69013	98.1	70326	60.9	187	0.6	30440	99.4	30627	49.4	42	5.6	710	94.4	752	62.5	101705	56.9
----not reported	1108	2.5	44052	97.5	45160	39.1	254	0.8	31126	99.2	31380	50.6	34	7.5	417	92.5	451	37.5	76991	43.1
Marijuana																				
----used	667	1.9	34106	98.1	34773	30.1	87	0.6	15211	99.4	15298	24.7	22	7.4	277	92.6	299	24.9	50370	28.2
----not reported	1754	2.2	78959	97.8	80713	69.9	354	0.8	46355	99.2	46709	75.3	54	6.0	850	94.0	904	75.1	128326	71.8
Heroin																				
----used	231	2.6	8549	97.4	8780	7.6	70	1.6	4310	98.4	4380	7.1	13	17.8	60	82.2	73	6.1	13233	7.4
----not reported	2190	2.1	104516	97.9	106706	92.4	371	0.6	57256	99.4	57627	92.9	63	5.6	1067	94.4	1130	93.9	165463	92.6
Barbiturates																				
----used	51	3.5	1411	96.5	1462	1.3	9	1.2	764	98.8	773	1.2	3	16.7	15	83.3	18	1.5	2253	1.3
----not reported	2370	2.1	111654	97.9	114024	98.7	432	0.7	60802	99.3	61234	98.8	73	6.2	1112	93.8	1185	98.5	176443	98.7
Tranquilizers																				
----used	36	2.7	1276	97.3	1312	1.1	5	0.6	774	99.4	779	1.3			10	100	10	0.8	2101	1.2
----not reported	2385	2.1	111789	97.9	114174	98.9	436	0.7	60792	99.3	61228	98.7	76	6.4	1117	93.6	1193	99.2	176595	98.8
Crack																				
----used	289	3.2	8733	96.8	9022	7.8	100	1.9	5041	98.1	5141	8.3	25	21.2	93	78.8	118	9.8	14281	8.0
----not reported	2132	2.0	104332	98.0	106464	92.2	341	0.6	56525	99.4	56866	91.7	51	4.7	1034	95.3	1085	90.2	164415	92.0
Amphetamines																				
----used	570	3.2	17257	96.8	17827	15.4	54	0.6	8640	99.4	8694	14.0	31	15.9	164	84.1	195	16.2	26716	15.0
----not reported	1851	1.9	95808	98.1	97659	84.6	387	0.7	52926	99.3	53313	86.0	45	4.5	963	95.5	1008	83.8	151980	85.0
Cocaine																				
----used	303	2.2	13348	97.8	13651	11.8	66	1.2	5275	98.8	5341	8.6	14	11.8	105	88.2	119	9.9	19111	10.7
----not reported	2118	2.1	99717	97.9	101835	88.2	375	0.7	56291	99.3	56666	91.4	62	5.7	1022	94.3	1084	90.1	159585	89.3
Nitrates/nitrites																				
----used	90	4.8	1775	95.2	1865	1.6			285	100	285	0.5			10	100	10	0.8	2160	1.2
----not reported	2331	2.1	111290	97.9	113621	98.4	441	0.7	61281	99.3	61722	99.5	76	6.4	1117	93.6	1193	99.2	176536	98.8
Ecstasy																				
----used	145	2.6	5336	97.4	5481	4.7	11	0.5	2167	99.5	2178	3.5	3	6.3	45	93.8	48	4.0	7707	4.3
----not reported	2276	2.1	107729	97.9	110005	95.3	430	0.7	59399	99.3	59829	96.5	73	6.3	1082	93.7	1155	96.0	170989	95.7
PCP																				
----used	21	1.6	1322	98.4	1343	1.2	5	0.8	627	99.2	632	1.0			14	100	14	1.2	1989	1.1
----not reported	2400	2.1	111743	97.9	114143	98.8	436	0.7	60939	99.3	61375	99.0	76	6.4	1113	93.6	1189	98.8	176707	98.9
Hallucinogens																				
----used	41	1.5	2652	98.5	2693	2.3	5	0.4	1158	99.6	1163	1.9			15	100	15	1.2	3871	2.2
----not reported	2380	2.1	110413	97.9	112793	97.7	436	0.7	60408	99.3	60844	98.1	76	6.4	1112	93.6	1188	98.8	174825	97.8
Other Drug																				
----used	157	4.8	3090	95.2	3247	2.8	10	1.0	998	99.0	1008	1.6	8	2.6	302	97.4	310	25.8	4565	2.6
----not reported	2264	2.0	109975	98.0	112239	97.2	431	0.7	60568	99.3	60999	98.4	68	7.6	825	92.4	893	74.2	174131	97.4

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:
C&T = Counseling and Testing
CDHS = California Department of Health Services
OA = Office of AIDS

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
ATS PROGRAM	ALAMEDA					2000	76.0	630	24.0
	ALPINE					7	100		
	BUTTE	1	16.7	5	83.3	73	74.5	25	25.5
	CONTRA COSTA					215	87.0	32	13.0
	FRESNO					580	72.2	223	27.8
	HUMBOLDT					485	73.0	179	27.0
	IMPERIAL					43	60.6	28	39.4
	INYO					29	87.9	4	12.1
	KERN					28	80.0	7	20.0
	KINGS					1	100		
	LOS ANGELES	9	100			5873	84.5	1081	15.5
	MARIN					491	91.3	47	8.7
	MENDOCINO					266	86.9	40	13.1
	MERCED					61	75.3	20	24.7
	MONTEREY					69	100		
	NAPA					112	78.9	30	21.1
	NEVADA					7	87.5	1	12.5
	ORANGE	1	100			1411	86.6	219	13.4
	PLACER					228	89.8	26	10.2
	RIVERSIDE					1637	78.1	458	21.9
	SACRAMENTO					529	83.7	103	16.3
	SAN BERNARDINO			1	100	1104	80.2	272	19.8
	SAN DIEGO	6	50.0	6	50.0	3936	87.5	561	12.5
	SAN FRANCISCO					5444	90.5	571	9.5
	SAN JOAQUIN					129	76.8	39	23.2
	SAN LUIS OBISPO					440	81.8	98	18.2
	SAN MATEO					11	100		
	SANTA BARBARA					1967	81.0	462	19.0
	SANTA CLARA	1	50.0	1	50.0	2086	85.3	359	14.7
	SANTA CRUZ					748	74.1	262	25.9
	SHASTA					302	82.3	65	17.7
	SOLANO					272	82.9	56	17.1
	SONOMA					1743	77.4	509	22.6
	STANISLAUS	1	100			435	67.1	213	32.9

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
ATS PROGRAM	TULARE	1	100			237	76.0	75	24.0
	TUOLUMNE					123	82.0	27	18.0
	VENTURA	1	50.0	1	50.0	279	82.5	59	17.5
	YOLO					175	79.9	44	20.1
	LONG BEACH					1786	84.6	324	15.4
	PASADENA					624	90.8	63	9.2
	BERKELEY					3229	91.9	286	8.1
	SUBTOTAL	21	60.0	14	40.0	39215	83.9	7498	16.1
NON-ATS LHD	ALAMEDA	1	50.0	1	50.0	2739	61.9	1686	38.1
	ALPINE					6	100		
	AMADOR					72	90.0	8	10.0
	BUTTE	32	82.1	7	17.9	895	72.6	338	27.4
	CALAVERAS					86	92.5	7	7.5
	CONTRA COSTA	749	67.4	363	32.6	1252	67.2	612	32.8
	DEL NORTE					23	76.7	7	23.3
	EL DORADO	2	50.0	2	50.0	368	76.7	112	23.3
	FRESNO	72	49.3	74	50.7	1557	57.6	1148	42.4
	GLENN					71	72.4	27	27.6
	HUMBOLDT	1	100			544	66.9	269	33.1
	IMPERIAL	29	51.8	27	48.2	472	46.0	555	54.0
	INYO	4	80.0	1	20.0	12	92.3	1	7.7
	KERN					2750	62.9	1624	37.1
	KINGS	71	98.6	1	1.4	197	67.7	94	32.3
	LAKE	50	75.8	16	24.2	173	86.5	27	13.5
	LASSEN	1	100			129	76.3	40	23.7
	LOS ANGELES	5937	78.6	1612	21.4	30530	74.3	10545	25.7
	MADERA					186	64.1	104	35.9
	MARIN					1668	77.6	482	22.4
	MARIPOSA					45	69.2	20	30.8
	MENDOCINO					759	85.4	130	14.6
	MERCED					304	79.0	81	21.0
	MODOC					3	75.0	1	25.0
	MONO	14	100						
	MONTEREY					413	99.8	1	0.2

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
NON-ATS LHD	NEVADA					610	70.0	262	30.0
	ORANGE	1127	81.0	265	19.0	6927	81.9	1528	18.1
	PLACER	2	100			310	81.6	70	18.4
	PLUMAS					91	92.9	7	7.1
	RIVERSIDE					1813	67.5	873	32.5
	SACRAMENTO	578	61.3	365	38.7	3200	63.1	1870	36.9
	SAN BERNARDINO	145	57.8	106	42.2	2922	69.5	1284	30.5
	SAN DIEGO	2026	78.4	558	21.6	6110	72.9	2277	27.1
	SAN FRANCISCO					12077	84.1	2284	15.9
	SAN JOAQUIN	32	42.7	43	57.3	1079	51.0	1038	49.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO					1201	69.9	516	30.1
	SAN MATEO					2068	60.6	1346	39.4
	SANTA BARBARA	316	94.9	17	5.1	361	68.0	170	32.0
	SANTA CLARA	152	70.7	63	29.3	1089	72.2	420	27.8
	SANTA CRUZ	147	86.0	24	14.0	569	76.8	172	23.2
	SHASTA			1	100	583	78.0	164	22.0
	SIERRA					8	100		
	SISKIYOU					189	70.8	78	29.2
	SOLANO	32	86.5	5	13.5	150	59.8	101	40.2
	SONOMA	10	62.5	6	37.5	1785	66.9	884	33.1
	STANISLAUS	341	56.6	262	43.4	619	62.6	370	37.4
	SUTTER	3	75.0	1	25.0	185	61.5	116	38.5
	TEHAMA	34	53.1	30	46.9	84	77.8	24	22.2
	TRINITY					12	80.0	3	20.0
	TULARE	28	47.5	31	52.5	539	67.4	261	32.6
	TUOLUMNE					9	81.8	2	18.2
	VENTURA	10	100			482	75.4	157	24.6
	YOLO					347	70.2	147	29.8
	YUBA					274	64.0	154	36.0
	LONG BEACH	205	84.0	39	16.0	1987	73.5	718	26.5
	PASADENA					359	95.0	19	5.0
	BERKELEY					1610	70.0	691	30.0
	CSU LONG BEACH					1123	76.6	344	23.4
	SUBTOTAL	12151	75.6	3920	24.4	96026	72.6	36269	27.4

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	SAN BENITO HF					275	99.6	1	0.4
	DM CAMARENA HC					185	62.1	113	37.9
	SALUD LA GENTE					233	98.7	3	1.3
	SUBTOTAL					693	85.6	117	14.4
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	URBAN INDIAN HB					286	76.1	90	23.9
	AMERICAN INDIAN H&S					3	42.9	4	57.1
	CHAPA-DE IHC					13	86.7	2	13.3
	SONOMA IHP					56	76.7	17	23.3
	SOUTHERN IHC					17	89.5	2	10.5
	SUBTOTAL					375	76.5	115	23.5
DADP	ALAMEDA DADP	687	73.3	250	26.7				
	FRESNO DADP	1289	76.1	405	23.9				
	HUMBOLDT DADP	97	77.0	29	23.0				
	MARIN DADP	3	60.0	2	40.0				
	MERCED DADP	115	87.8	16	12.2				
	PLACER DADP	499	81.0	117	19.0				
	RIVERSIDE DADP	16	100						
	SAN BERNARDINO DADP	794	76.8	240	23.2				
	SAN JOAQUIN DADP	480	86.5	75	13.5				
	SAN MATEO DADP	132	75.4	43	24.6				
	SANTA CLARA DADP	21	67.7	10	32.3				
	SANTA CRUZ DADP	259	80.9	61	19.1				
	SUBTOTAL	4392	77.9	1248	22.1				
TOTAL BY FUNDING SOURCE AND RESULT		16564	76.2	5182	23.8	136309	75.6	43999	24.4

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

ATS = Alternative Test Site
 AIHC = American Indian Health Center
 CSU = California State University
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
 DM = Darin M.
 HB = Health Board

HC = Health Center
 HF = Health Foundation
 H&S = Health and Services
 IHC = Indian Health Center
 IHP = Indian Health Program
 LHD = Local Health Department
 OA = Office of AIDS

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 20. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY DISCLOSURE REFERRALS AND CLIENT RISK LEVEL	CLIENT RISK LEVEL						TOTAL	
	HIV POSITIVE or INCONCLUSIVE		HIGH-RISK NEGATIVE		LOW-RISK NEGATIVE			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
MISSING ALL REFERRAL DATA								
----yes	622	24.4	13611	17.7	10333	18.2	24566	18.0
----no	1931	75.6	63461	82.3	46551	81.8	111943	82.0
NONE INDICATED								
----yes	56	2.19	5706	7.40	6914	12.2	12676	9.29
----no	2497	97.8	71366	92.6	49970	87.8	123833	90.7
Referral List Only								
----referral provided	73	2.86	3933	5.10	3121	5.49	7127	5.22
----not reported	2480	97.1	73139	94.9	53763	94.5	129382	94.8
CCS/HIV Children's Program								
----referral provided	12	0.47	87	0.11	43	0.08	142	0.10
----not reported	2541	99.5	76985	99.9	56841	99.9	136367	99.9
Other Testing								
----referral provided	75	2.94	1356	1.76	574	1.01	2005	1.47
----not reported	2478	97.1	75716	98.2	56310	99.0	134504	98.5
HIV Education Service								
----referral provided	469	18.4	23033	29.9	17181	30.2	40683	29.8
----not reported	2084	81.6	54039	70.1	39703	69.8	95826	70.2
Follow-up HIV Counseling								
----referral provided	602	23.6	24701	32.0	15535	27.3	40838	29.9
----not reported	1951	76.4	52371	68.0	41349	72.7	95671	70.1
Prevention Skill Development								
----referral provided	267	10.5	18324	23.8	13156	23.1	31747	23.3
----not reported	2286	89.5	58748	76.2	43728	76.9	104762	76.7
Prevention Support Group								
----referral provided	55	2.15	2092	2.71	693	1.22	2840	2.08
----not reported	2498	97.8	74980	97.3	56191	98.8	133669	97.9
Individual Psychotherapy								
----referral provided	85	3.33	1072	1.39	680	1.20	1837	1.35
----not reported	2468	96.7	76000	98.6	56204	98.8	134672	98.7
Alcohol/Drug Treatment								
----referral provided	63	2.47	4301	5.58	422	0.74	4786	3.51
----not reported	2490	97.5	72771	94.4	56462	99.3	131723	96.5
Twelve Step Program								
----referral provided	45	1.76	3460	4.49	443	0.78	3948	2.89
----not reported	2508	98.2	73612	95.5	56441	99.2	132561	97.1
Needle Exchange Program								
----referral provided	10	0.39	723	0.94	37	0.07	770	0.56
----not reported	2543	99.6	76349	99.1	56847	99.9	135739	99.4

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 20. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY DISCLOSURE REFERRALS AND CLIENT RISK LEVEL	CLIENT RISK LEVEL						TOTAL	
	HIV POSITIVE or INCONCLUSIVE		HIGH-RISK NEGATIVE		LOW-RISK NEGATIVE			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
EIP								
----referral provided	524	20.5	66	0.09	20	0.04	610	0.45
----not reported	2029	79.5	77006	99.9	56864	100	135899	99.6
Medical Services								
----referral provided	827	32.4	1937	2.51	1050	1.85	3814	2.79
----not reported	1726	67.6	75135	97.5	55834	98.2	132695	97.2
PCRS/Partner Notification								
----referral provided	362	14.2	31	0.04	11	0.02	404	0.30
----not reported	2191	85.8	77041	100	56873	100	136105	99.7
Case Management								
----referral provided	502	19.7	68	0.09	17	0.03	587	0.43
----not reported	2051	80.3	77004	99.9	56867	100	135922	99.6
Crisis Intervention								
----referral provided	37	1.45	38	0.05	26	0.05	101	0.07
----not reported	2516	98.6	77034	100	56858	100	136408	99.9
TB								
----referral provided	10	0.39	127	0.16	81	0.14	218	0.16
----not reported	2543	99.6	76945	99.8	56803	99.9	136291	99.8
STD Clinic								
----referral provided	163	6.38	12165	15.8	7673	13.5	20001	14.7
----not reported	2390	93.6	64907	84.2	49211	86.5	116508	85.3
Family Planning Clinic								
----referral provided	5	0.20	708	0.92	1102	1.94	1815	1.33
----not reported	2548	99.8	76364	99.1	55782	98.1	134694	98.7
Pregnancy Evaluation/Care								
----referral provided	2	0.08	91	0.12	177	0.31	270	0.20
----not reported	2551	99.9	76981	99.9	56707	99.7	136239	99.8
Social Services								
----referral provided	87	3.41	511	0.66	257	0.45	855	0.63
----not reported	2466	96.6	76561	99.3	56627	99.5	135654	99.4
Domestic Violence Counseling								
----referral provided	3	0.12	71	0.09	37	0.07	111	0.08
----not reported	2550	99.9	77001	99.9	56847	99.9	136398	99.9
Other								
----referral provided	150	5.88	1768	2.29	985	1.73	2903	2.13
----not reported	2403	94.1	75304	97.7	55899	98.3	133606	97.9
TOTAL	2553	1.87	77072	56.5	56884	41.7	136509	100

PREPARED BY CDHS/OA

TABLE ACRONYMS:

CCS = California Children's Services
 CDHS = California Department of Health Services
 EIP = Early Intervention Program
 OA = Office of AIDS

PCRS = Partner Counseling and Referral Services
 STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
 TB = Tuberculosis

HIV COUNSELING INFORMATION FORM

Administrative Information

LHD/agency no.: Site no.:

Clinic type: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (01) Alternative test site
- ☐ (02) Family planning
- ☐ (03) STD
- ☐ (04) Alc./drug treatment
- ☐ (05) Detention facility
- ☐ (06) Primary care
- ☐ (07) HIV test
- ☐ (08) Street outreach
- ☐ (09) Mobile van
- ☐ (10) TB
- ☐ (11) Youth drop in
- ☐ (12) Other health dept.
- ☐ (13) Other, specify: _____

Client's test election: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (01) Yes, Tested anonymously
- ☐ (02) Yes, Tested confidentially
- ☐ (03) Yes, Court or other non-OA test
- ☐ (04) No, Not at risk
- ☐ (05) No, Tested recently
- ☐ (06) No, Still in window period
- ☐ (07) No, Concern with confidentiality
- ☐ (08) No, Disclosure not convenient
- ☐ (09) No, Does NOT want to know
- ☐ (10) No, Other reason
- ☐ (11) Test not offered

Counseling and Test Result

DC

Unique Office of AIDS
Client Number

Counseling: (date and initial)

Date Service
Provided (mm/dd/yy)

Print
Initials

Risk Assessment Counseling: _____

Follow-up Contact:

(to reset missed disclosure sessions by confidential patients)

Disclosure Counseling: _____

☐ Mark ☒ if post disclosure counseling scheduled.

Post Disclosure Counseling: _____

Test result: (mark one ☒ and initial)

☐ (1) Positive ☐ (2) Negative ☐ (3) Inconclusive

(carefully verify anonymous clients using Client Information)

☐ Mark ☒ if oral test kit used. ☐ Mark ☒ if rapid test used.

Initials

Matching criterion:

Enter first letter of last name. Mark "*" if declined/refused.

First letter of last name:

Alternative billing: (mark all that apply ☒)

- ☐ No billing to OA
- ☐ Risk Assessment
- ☐ Disclosure
- ☐ Post Disclosure
- ☐ Laboratory Work

Client Information

Race/ethnicity: (mark one or two ☒)

1st 2nd

- ☐ (1) ☐ (1) African American (not Hispanic)
- ☐ (2) ☐ (2) American Indian/Alaskan Native
- ☐ (3) ☐ (3) Asian/Pacific Islander
- ☐ (4) ☐ (4) Hispanic/Latino(a)
- ☐ (5) ☐ (5) White (not Hispanic)
- ☐ (6) ☐ (6) Other, specify: _____

Date of birth:

(mm/dd/yy)

Gender and pregnancy: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) Male
- ☐ (2) Female
- ☐ (3) Pregnant Female
- ☐ (4) Transgendered: Male to Female
- ☐ (5) Transgendered: Female to Male
- ☐ (6) Other, specify: _____

Residence county:

Residence zip code:

☐ Mark ☒ if client is homeless.

Client was referred by: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (01) HIV+ partner
- ☐ (02) PCRS/partner notification
- ☐ (03) OA NIGHT outreach (incentive/referral)
- ☐ (04) Other outreach worker
- ☐ (05) HIV education program
- ☐ (06) AIDS telephone hotline
- ☐ (07) Other AIDS agency
- ☐ (08) Alcohol/drug treatment program
- ☐ (09) M.D./health clinic
- ☐ (10) Friend/relative
- ☐ (11) Media (TV, radio, print)
- ☐ (12) No identifiable referral source

Client's reason for testing: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (01) Reconfirming HIV+ result
- ☐ (02) Reports AIDS-like symptoms
- ☐ (03) Has current HIV+ partner
- ☐ (04) Had past HIV+ partner
- ☐ (05) TB diagnosis
- ☐ (06) STD related
- ☐ (07) Pregnancy
- ☐ (08) Risky behavior
- ☐ (09) Starting a new relationship
- ☐ (10) Just wanted to know
- ☐ (11) Other, specify: _____

HIV Testing History

Number of prior HIV tests: (circle one)

(0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9+)

Date of last test result: _____ (mm/yy)

Last test result: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) Positive
- ☐ (2) Negative
- ☐ (3) Inconclusive
- ☐ (4) Did not return for results

Risk Reduction Goals

Risk assessment stage of change: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) Not thinking about it (Precontemplation)
- ☐ (2) Thinking about it (Contemplation)
- ☐ (3) Ready for action (Preparation)
- ☐ (4) Action
- ☐ (5) Maintenance

Immediate risk reduction goal setting:

(to be accomplished by client before disclosure)

At Disclosure: Risk reduction goal(s): (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) No Goal Established at Risk Assessment
- ☐ (2) Client Made No Effort
- ☐ (3) Goal Attempted
- ☐ (4) Goal Achieved

Post Disclosure/Short term risk reduction goal(s):

Long term risk reduction goal(s):

Referrals

Client referrals:

Record at risk assessment (RA), disclosure (D) and post disclosure (PD). Order by marking 1 for your primary referral. Other referrals should be numbered 2 and 3.

RA D PD

- _____ (01) NONE
- _____ (02) Referral list only

Other Testing

- _____ (03) CCS/HIV children's program
- _____ (04) Other testing

Risk/Harm Reduction

- _____ (05) HIV education service
- _____ (06) Follow-up HIV counseling
- _____ (07) Prevention skill development
- _____ (08) Prevention support group
- _____ (09) Individual psychotherapy
- _____ (10) Alcohol/drug treatment
- _____ (11) Twelve step program
- _____ (12) Needle exchange program

HIV Positive and Other Referrals

- _____ (13) EIP
- _____ (14) Medical services
- _____ (15) PCRS/partner notification
- _____ (16) Case management
- _____ (17) Crisis intervention
- _____ (18) TB

Other Services

- _____ (19) STD clinic
- _____ (20) Family planning clinic
- _____ (21) Pregnancy evaluation/care
- _____ (22) Social services
- _____ (23) Domestic violence counseling
- _____ (24) Other, specify: _____

Counselor: Review/Assess Introductory Issues

- ☐ Confidentiality/anonymity testing.
- ☐ Risk assessment process and purpose of form.
- ☐ What the HIV test measures.
- ☐ Meaning and accuracy of test results (positive, negative, inconclusive).
- ☐ Impact of HIV on the immune system.

Counselor: Review/Assess Testing Issues

- ☐ Window period/date of any follow-up test.
- ☐ Process of testing.
- ☐ Coping with waiting for test results.
- ☐ Client's readiness to be tested.
- ☐ Offer testing, if appropriate.
- ☐ Encourage the client to return for results.

Counselor Notes:

Discuss and record the client's behavior during the **last two years** unless otherwise indicated. If client has received an HIV test result during the last two years then discuss and record the client's behavior since the date of the client's last test result.

Date of Last Test Result: (if within last 2 years) ____/____/____ (mm/yy) (from HIV Testing History on front of form)

Sexual Risk History (last 2 years/last result)

Total number of sex partners: (000-999) <input type="text"/>		
Male sex partner(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Female sex partner(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Sex with sex worker(s)/prostitute(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Sex partner(s) who injected drugs or other substances.		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
HIV infected sex partner(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Mark <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if client knew partner's HIV positive status prior to sexual contact.		
(Females Only) Male partner(s) who has had sex with a male.		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)

Drug and Substance Use History (last 2 years/last result)

Drug and substance use. <input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused				
Drugs & substances used: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Injected: (mark if yes)	Frequency used with sex: Never Rarely Sometimes Usually		
<input type="checkbox"/> alcohol		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> marijuana		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> heroin, etc.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> barbiturate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> tranquilizers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> crack		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> amphetamine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cocaine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> nitrate/nitrite		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> ecstasy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> PCP		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> hallucinogens		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> other, specify:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3) <input type="checkbox"/> (4)
Injected drugs or other substances.				
Shared needles: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)		Needle exchange: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)		
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never		<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never		
<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Sometimes		<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Sometimes		
<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Always		<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Always		
<input type="checkbox"/> Mark <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if shared needles with a known HIV positive partner.		<input type="checkbox"/> (4) Not available in client's area		
Cleaned works: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)		IDU treatment history: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)		
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never		<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never		
<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Sometimes		<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Within last 2 years/last result		
<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Always		<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Prior to last 2 years/last result		

Other Risk History (last 2 years/last result)

Hepatitis and STD History. <input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	
STDs/Hepatitis: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	
<input type="checkbox"/> no STDs/Hepatitis	<input type="checkbox"/> genital/anal warts (HPV)
<input type="checkbox"/> syphilis (syph, the pox, lues)	<input type="checkbox"/> genital herpes (HSV)
<input type="checkbox"/> gonorrhea (GC, clap, drip)	<input type="checkbox"/> hepatitis B (HBV)
<input type="checkbox"/> chlamydia	<input type="checkbox"/> hepatitis C (HCV)
<input type="checkbox"/> trichomoniasis (trich)	<input type="checkbox"/> other, specify:
Other risk factors. (mark <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> , if appropriate) Declined/refused	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Received money/other items or services for sex.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Received drugs for sex.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Behavior resulting in other blood to blood contact (SM, tattooing, piercing, cuts, etc.) or that allows blood contact with mouth, vagina or anus.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Shared objects/fingers inserted in mouth, vagina or anus.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Blood to blood exposure on the job.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Job exposure blood known to be HIV+.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blood/blood product transfusion before 1985 (or in a country where blood is/was not tested for HIV).	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Child born of an HIV-infected woman.	<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other behavior, specify:	

Optional Data

Item 1:	<input type="text"/>
Item 2:	<input type="text"/>
Item 3:	<input type="text"/>
Item 4:	<input type="text"/>

Disclosure Counseling = MSM or Grey Box

Counselor: Review/Assess Drug and STD Issues

- ☐ Prevention/harm reduction/safer sex with IDUs.
- ☐ Demonstrate proper needle cleaning.
- ☐ Encourage and support substance abuse treatment and recovery.
- ☐ Alcohol/drug use with sex as co-factor for HIV risk.
- ☐ Other sexual behaviors affecting STDs (eg. rimming).
- ☐ Presence of STDs as a co-factor for HIV risk.
- ☐ Health effects of concurrent STD/HIV (e.g. pelvic inflammatory disease).

Counselor: Review/Assess Basic Issues

- ☐ Cultural/peer influences.
- ☐ Demonstrate proper condom & barrier use.
- ☐ Role-play with client to build needed skills.
- ☐ Discuss safer sex guidelines.
- ☐ Partner risks as they relate to client risk.
- ☐ Communication of HIV risk reduction with partner.
- ☐ Domestic violence/sexual assault.
- ☐ Voluntary PCRS/partner notification.
- ☐ Discuss obstacles to condom/barrier use.
- ☐ Pregnancy/maternal transmission (utero, birth, breastfeed).
- ☐ Integration of birth control and risk reduction.

DHS 8458 (11/1/2000)

